

## W0. Introduction

### W0.1

**(W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.**

AngloGold Ashanti is a multinational global gold mining company with a geographically diverse, world-class portfolio of operations and projects. Headquartered in Johannesburg, South Africa, AngloGold Ashanti is the third largest gold mining company in the world, measured by production. AngloGold Ashanti produced 3.3 million ounces of gold in 2019 - an estimated 2.8% of global production - making it the third largest gold producer in the world. AngloGold Ashanti operates 14 gold-producing operations located in 8 countries on three continents, and a group of greenfield projects in Colombia is supported by a focused exploration programme. These comprise mid to long-life, relatively low-cost assets with differing ore body types located in key gold-producing regions. AngloGold Ashanti currently operates in South Africa, Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Ghana, the Republic of Guinea, Mali and Tanzania. Several of these assets are strongly leveraged to energy costs and currencies. In addition, AngloGold Ashanti holds a material interest in 2 non-managed mines which are operated by Randgold Resources. We work across the full spectrum of the mining value chain and are concerned with the impact of our activities on the varied and many communities and environments in which we operate. Our goal is to create sustainable value for our shareholders, employees, and social partners through safe and responsible mining practices and capital discipline. Headquartered in Johannesburg, South Africa, AngloGold Ashanti's primary listing is on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (ANG). It is also listed on the following securities exchanges: New York (AU), Australia (AGG) and Ghana (AGA).

### W-MM0.1a

**(W-MM0.1a) Which activities in the metals and mining sector does your organization engage in?**

Activity	Details of activity
Mining	Gold
Processing	Gold

### W0.2

**(W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.**

	Start date	End date
Reporting year	January 1 2019	December 31 2019

### W0.3

**(W0.3) Select the countries/areas for which you will be supplying data.**

- Argentina
- Australia
- Brazil
- Ghana
- Guinea
- Mali
- South Africa
- United Republic of Tanzania

### W0.4

**(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.**

USD

### W0.5

**(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.**

Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

## W0.6

(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?

No

## W1. Current state

### W1.1

(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

	Direct use importance rating	Indirect use importance rating	Please explain
Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for use	Neutral	Not very important	DIRECT USE: There are only a few production processes in operating mines that require good quality freshwater. These include air cooling and ventilation systems in underground mines, the gold elution circuits in gold extraction plants and WASH services for employees. The bulk of the water requirements can be met with poorer quality water. Often however, where there are limited poorer quality sources available, freshwater must be imported into the organisation to sustain operations, either in untreated form directly from rivers, lakes or potable quality freshwater is imported from utility water suppliers. INDIRECT USE: There are immaterial volumes of water contained in purchased products, being limited to liquid reagents that are purchased and where water is used as a carrier (e.g. acids, peroxide, liquid cyanide, etc.).
Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use	Vital	Not important at all	DIRECT USE: The bulk of operational water needs at our operations are met by recycled water (up to 70%) within closed systems. Most operational processes can use very poor quality water and as a result, water losses incurred due to evaporation, phreatic water entrainment in tailings and seepage are preferentially made up by brackish and/or saline groundwater water sources. Where insufficient poor quality water is available to counter losses, fresh water must be imported. INDIRECT USE: There are immaterial volumes of water contained in purchased products, being limited to liquid reagents that are purchased and where water is used as a carrier (e.g. acids, peroxide, liquid cyanide, etc.).

### W1.2

(W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

	% of sites/facilities/operations	Please explain
Water withdrawals – total volumes	100%	All company facilities report water withdrawal in accordance with GRI G4-EN8 on a monthly basis. The data is reported externally on an annual basis. Measuring withdrawal volumes is critical in identifying sudden and unexpected changes in the site water balance. In many jurisdictions, water withdrawals into the organisation are also closely tracked and require reporting to regulators.
Water withdrawals – volumes by source	100%	All company facilities report withdrawal by source in accordance with GRI G4-EN8 on a monthly basis. Rainwater is excluded from internal definitions of withdrawal and accounted for elsewhere. The data is reported externally on an annual basis. Measuring withdrawal volumes by source, or by water type is critical in identifying sudden and unexpected changes in the site water balance. Targets are often set to reduce importation from fresher, constrained or more expensive water sources. In many jurisdictions, water withdrawals into the organisation are also closely tracked and require reporting to regulators.
Entrained water associated with your metals & mining sector activities - total volumes [only metals and mining sector]	100%	Estimated by calculation at company aggregation level.
Produced water associated with your oil & gas sector activities - total volumes [only oil and gas sector]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Water withdrawals quality	100%	A core set of water quality parameters are monitored.
Water discharges – total volumes	100%	All company facilities that discharge water account for discharged water volumes in accordance with GRI G4-EN22, on a monthly basis. The data is collated and reported externally on an annual basis. Volumes of water discharged, e.g. through a water treatment plant are required to maintain the operational site water balance and closely manage costs of water treatment. In addition, discharges are regulated and require reporting to regulators.
Water discharges – volumes by destination	100%	Water discharge permits or licenses issued by regulators typically indicate the permissible location of discharge, which has been determined through a process of scientific study and stakeholder consultation. For example, our Sunrise Dam operation discharges hyper-saline water onto a salt lake. Typically these destinations remain fixed and confirmation of water discharge at the permitted points is provided in reports to regulators along with other pertinent discharge information.
Water discharges – volumes by treatment method	100%	Water discharge permits or licenses issued by regulators typically indicate the type of treatment to be applied and/or water quality objectives that have to be met.
Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters	100%	Water discharge permits or licenses issued by regulators indicate the permissible thresholds of various standard effluent parameters e.g. pH, conductivity and parameters of potential concern such as dissolved metals. Monitoring of these parameters is typically obligatory, as is provision of this information to regulators.
Water discharge quality – temperature	51-75	Water discharge permits or licenses issued by regulators indicate the permissible thresholds of various standard effluent parameters e.g. pH, conductivity and parameters of potential concern such as dissolved metals. Monitoring of these parameters is typically obligatory, as is provision of this information to regulators. It is not however common to require temperature readings, hence this is not globally applied. The percentage reported is an estimate.
Water consumption – total volume	100%	2019 Consumption data includes entrainment and evaporation aggregated at the company level. It also includes for the first time, high and low quality water imports, high and low quality harvested rainfall as well as high and low quality water discharge as per the 2017 ICMM Consistent Water Reporting guide.
Water recycled/reused	100%	All active operational facilities account for recycled water volumes in accordance with the ICMM methodology (based on MCA water accounting framework). The data is collated and reported externally on an annual basis.
The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers	100%	We believe that these are fundamental human rights and are committed to complying with the Universal Declaration on Human Rights, International Bill of Human Rights and the International Labour Organisation (ILO) standards.

## W1.2b

(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, and how do these volumes compare to the previous reporting year?

	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Total withdrawals	47896	Much lower	We have aligned our reporting with the ICMM Consistent Water Reporting Guide. As such, this does not include harvested rainwater.
Total discharges	13523	Much lower	The discharge figure does not include Water Diversions as defined in the ICMM Water Reporting Guideline. This number includes diffuse discharges to groundwater (other task losses).
Total consumption	60061	Much higher	We have aligned our reporting with the ICMM Consistent Water Reporting Guide. This number excludes other consumptive task losses (to groundwater).

## W1.2d

(W1.2d) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress and provide the proportion.

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	% withdrawn from areas with water stress	Comparison with previous reporting year	Identification tool	Please explain
Row 1	Yes	11-25	Lower	WWF Water Risk Filter	Two of our operations are located in areas identified as being under water stress; in reality, these operations have a significant proportion of extraneous fissure water draining into the operations, reducing the need to import water from surface sources and water supply utilities.

## W1.2h

(W1.2h) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes	Relevant	47325	About the same	Includes directly and indirectly harvested rainwater.
Brackish surface water/Seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Groundwater – renewable	Relevant	16380	About the same	Includes high and low quality groundwater.
Groundwater – non-renewable	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	
Produced/Entrained water	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	The sources of water entrained with the ore arriving at a processing plant can include a proportion of naturally occurring water and a proportion of the recirculating process water stream. The extents can vary significantly, depending on the geology and mining type. For example hard rock mining tends to have very limited naturally occurring water, with most being added through mining activities. We do not presently have the level of resolution required to quantify the volume of water in ore that is withdrawn from the environment. We however include estimates of groundwater withdrawals from mining zones, in our groundwater withdrawal numbers.
Third party sources	Relevant	9880	About the same	

## W1.2i

(W1.2i) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water	Relevant	1779	Much lower	
Brackish surface water/seawater	Relevant	4462	Lower	
Groundwater	Relevant	7282	About the same	
Third-party destinations	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

## W-MM1.3

(W-MM1.3) Do you calculate water intensity information for your metals and mining activities?

Yes

## W-MM1.3a

(W-MM1.3a) For your top 5 products by revenue, provide the following intensity information associated with your metals and mining activities.

Product	Numerator: Water aspect	Denominator	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Gold	Total water use	Ton of ore processed	About the same	

## W2. Business impacts

### W2.1

(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?

Yes

### W2.1a

(W2.1a) Describe the water-related detrimental impacts experienced by your organization, your response, and the total financial impact.

#### Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

#### Type of impact driver & Primary impact driver

Physical	Flooding
----------	----------

#### Primary impact

Increased operating costs

#### Description of impact

The company was forced to step in and pump underground mine water that would have drained into one of its underground mine workings from 3 shallower neighbouring (upstream) mines that went into liquidation, including some poor quality (acidic) water. The neighbouring mines had not made provision for post-closure pumping and regulators had not forced it to do so. If AngloGold Ashanti did not pump the additional water, our operations would be flooded, making continued mining impossible.

#### Primary response

Develop flood emergency plans

#### Total financial impact

9100000

#### Description of response

A wholly owned subsidiary was set up to maintain and operate the infrastructure required to continue pumping the volumes of mine water at source (at the liquidated neighbouring mines). Pumping is continuing under a directive from the national water resources regulator. An average of US\$9.1m per annum (at 11 August 2020 exchange rates - R17.56) is required to sustain pumping and infrastructure.

### W2.2

(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

No

## W3. Procedures

### W-MM3.2

(W-MM3.2) By river basin, what number of active and inactive tailings dams are within your control?

#### Country/Area & River basin

Argentina	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 974)
-----------	---

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

1

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

0

**Comment**

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

Australia	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 174)
-----------	---

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

2

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

1

**Comment**

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

7

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

0

**Comment**

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Tocantins
--------	-----------

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

1

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

0

**Comment**

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

1

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

1

**Comment**

The TSF disclosure forced us to define dormant dams as inactive, previously, we only considered operating dams as being active or inactive. In Ghana, there are 2 operating TSF's, one is active and the other is inactive. In addition to these, there are 5 dormant TSF's that have been defined as inactive in the disclosure.

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

Guinea	Niger
--------	-------

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

1

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

0

**Comment**

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mali	Senegal
------	---------

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

1

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

0

**Comment****Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

5

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

10

**Comment**

A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

**Country/Area & River basin**

United Republic of Tanzania	Other, please specify (Lake Victoria)
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

**Number of tailings dams in operation**

1

**Number of inactive tailings dams**

1

**Comment****W-MM3.2a**

**(W-MM3.2a) Do you evaluate and classify the tailings dams under your control according to the consequences of their failure to human health and ecosystems?**

**Row 1****Evaluation of the consequences of tailings dam failure**

Yes, we evaluate the consequences of tailings dam failure

**Evaluation/Classification guideline(s)**

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)  
 Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil  
 Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)  
 South Africa (SANS) 10286  
 Company-specific guidelines

**Tailings dams have been classified as 'hazardous' or 'highly hazardous'**

Yes, tailings dams have been classified as 'hazardous' or 'highly hazardous' (or equivalent)

**Please explain**

Please see link below to AGA's Tailings Disclosure: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1560011239-AngloGoldAshantiMineTailingsDisclosurerecertifiedbytheChairmanandChiefExecutiveOfficer.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1560011239-AngloGoldAshantiMineTailingsDisclosurerecertifiedbytheChairmanandChiefExecutiveOfficer.pdf)

**W-MM3.2b**

**(W-MM3.2b) Provide details for all dams classified as 'hazardous' or 'highly hazardous'.**

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

CVSA TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Argentina	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 974)
-----------	---

**Latitude**

68.236944

**Longitude**

48.390277

**Hazard classification**

High / High C

**Guideline(s) used**

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

14800

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

20310

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

CdS II TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.472222

**Longitude**

19.983055

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

8600

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

9670

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

CDS 1 TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.49388

**Longitude**

20.019166

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

260

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

260

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Cuiaba TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.726666

**Longitude**

19.868888

**Hazard classification**

High

---

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

7610

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

10000

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Open Pit

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.746944

**Longitude**

19.864444

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

1400

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

2700

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Calcine TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.839444

**Longitude**

19.961944

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

2970

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

3205

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Cocoruto TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.831111

**Longitude**

19.974444

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

4240

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

4240

**Please explain**

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Rapaunha TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Sao Francisco
--------	---------------

**Latitude**

43.843333

**Longitude**

19.965

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

13700

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

13700

**Please explain**

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

MSG TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Brazil	Tocantins
--------	-----------

**Latitude**

49.958055

**Longitude**

14.561666

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

14100

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

20300

**Please explain**

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Geita TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

United Republic of Tanzania	Other, please specify (Lake Victoria)
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

**Latitude**

32.195

**Longitude**

2.848055

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

73000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

91000

[Please explain](#)**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Geita Old TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

United Republic of Tanzania	Other, please specify (Lake Victoria)
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

**Latitude**

32.181388

**Longitude**

2.865555

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

1900

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

1900

[Please explain](#)**Tailings dam name/identifier**

I TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

2.053888

**Longitude**

5.246388

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

3400

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

3400

[Please explain](#)**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Block 1 Pit

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

2.031111

**Longitude**

5.243333

**Hazard classification**

Not applicable.

**Guideline(s) used**

Other, please specify (Not applicable)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

5300

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

5300

**Please explain**

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Block 2 Pit

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

2.053888

**Longitude**

5.246388

**Hazard classification**

Not applicable.

**Guideline(s) used**

Other, please specify (Not applicable)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

12000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

12000

**Please explain**

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

GTSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

2.053888

**Longitude**

5.246388

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

25700

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

41480

**Please explain**

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Kokotesua TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

1.665555

**Longitude**

6.221111

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

3400

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

3400

**Please explain**

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Pompora TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

1.653111

**Longitude**

6.221666

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

24000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

24000

**Please explain**

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

STSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Ghana	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 1184)
-------	--

**Latitude**

1.718611

**Longitude**

6.194444

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

38600

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

39700

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Siguirí TSF\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mali	Other, please specify (Niger)
------	-------------------------------

**Latitude**

9.408055

**Longitude**

11.518611

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

112000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

158000

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Sadiola TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mali	Senegal
------	---------

**Latitude**

11.650277

**Longitude**

13.863888

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

49000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

51400

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

CTD TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Australia	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 174)
-----------	---

**Latitude**

-29.115247

**Longitude**

122.459198

**Hazard classification**

ANCOLD - Low

**Guideline(s) used**

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

**Tailings dam's activity**

---

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

40200

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

54241

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

TSF 1

**Country/Area & River basin**

Australia	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 174)
-----------	---

**Latitude**

-29.078111

**Longitude**

122.446415

**Hazard classification**

ANCOLD - Low

**Guideline(s) used**

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

5900

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

5900

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Tropicana

**Country/Area & River basin**

Australia	Other, please specify (GHAAS Basin 174)
-----------	---

**Latitude**

-29.232247

**Longitude**

124.552143

**Hazard classification**

ANCOLD - High C

**Guideline(s) used**

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

28500

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

89300

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Kareerand

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.893888

**Longitude**

26.888055

**Hazard classification**

High

---

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

139000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

244000

**Please explain**

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Buffelsfontein 1

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.805277

**Longitude**

26.914166

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

10300

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Buffelsfontein 5

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.786666

**Longitude**

26.918611

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

34900

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Hartebeesfontein 1 & 2\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.769166

**Longitude**

26.880555

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

39800

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

This formerly inactive tailings facility is currently being hydraulically mined for reprocessing.

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Hartebeesfontein 5 & 6\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.754444

**Longitude**

26.877222

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

23600

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

MWS 4

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.800833

**Longitude**

26.811111

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

19200

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

19200

**Please explain**

A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.7725

**Longitude**

26.818611

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

69200

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

69200

**Please explain**

A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

East Complex\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.769722

**Longitude**

26.917222

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

37000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

This formerly inactive tailings facility is currently being hydraulically mined for reprocessing.

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Sulphur Pay Dam

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.765555

**Longitude**

26.904722

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

2200

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

This formerly inactive tailings facility is currently being hydraulically mined for reprocessing.

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Southeast

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.747777

**Longitude**

26.905277

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

22800

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

This formerly inactive tailings facility is currently being hydraulically mined for reprocessing.

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

West Complex\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.685833

**Longitude**

26.943333

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

139400

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

16300

**Please explain**

Compartment 4 in the West Complex is "Active". The other compartments of this complex are inactive. A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

West Extension

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

26.708333

**Longitude**

26.934444

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

36400

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

41500

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Mponeng TSF\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

27.401111

**Longitude**

26.455277

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

45100

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

50200

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Savuka New Complex\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

27.358888

**Longitude**

26.433888

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

57600

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

70500

[Please explain](#)

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Savuka Old Complex\*

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

27.380833

**Longitude**

26.423611

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Inactive

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

37100

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

0

**Please explain**

There are Reclamation activities on Compartments 4B & 6 of the Savuka Old TSF. A few of the facilities classified as inactive, are being re-mined (refer to AGA's Tailings Disclosure).

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

CTSF 1

**Country/Area & River basin**

Please select

**Latitude**

3.131053

**Longitude**

29.598067

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

5000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

33000

**Please explain**

This is a Joint Venture and is operated by Barrick Gold.

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

CTSF 2

**Country/Area & River basin**

Please select

**Latitude**

3.127811

**Longitude**

29.605878

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

7000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

33000

**Please explain**

This is a Joint Venture and is operated by Barrick Gold.

---

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

FTSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Please select

**Latitude**

3.119041

**Longitude**

29.605928

**Hazard classification**

High

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

13000

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

60000

**Please explain**

This is a Joint Venture and is operated by Barrick Gold.

**Tailings dam name/identifier**

Morila TSF

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mali	Senegal
------	---------

**Latitude**

11.69565

**Longitude**

-6.840917

**Hazard classification**

Low

**Guideline(s) used**

South Africa SANS 10286

**Tailings dam's activity**

Active

**Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)**

**Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)**

**Please explain**

This is a Joint Venture and is operated by Barrick Gold. This is a Reclamation site.

**W-MM3.2c**

**(W-MM3.2c) To manage the potential impacts to human health or water ecosystems associated with the tailings dams in your control, what procedures are in place for all of your dams?**

Procedure	Detail of the procedure	Please explain
Life of facility plan	<p>A life of facility plan that identifies minimum specifications and performance objectives for the operating and closure phases</p> <p>A life of facility plan that includes an identification of potential chemical and physical risks from the design and construction phases</p> <p>A life of facility plan that considers post-closure land and water use</p> <p>A life of facility plan that details the financial and human resources needed</p>	AGA has developed a Tailings Management Framework which provides guidance and standards for the different phases of development of Tailings facilities.

Procedure	Detail of the procedure	Please explain
Acceptable risk levels	<p>Establishment of site-level guidance and standards for acceptable risk levels based on an evaluation of potential chemical and physical risks</p> <p>Establishment of site-level guidance and standards for acceptable risk levels for third party safety in consultation with potentially affected communities, employees and relevant government bodies</p> <p>Establishment of site-level guidance and standards for acceptable risk levels across all life stages, including post-closure</p> <p>Establishment of company-wide standards for acceptable risk levels that follow a company policy to eliminate or minimize water-related risks associated with tailings dams</p>	AGA has developed a comprehensive tailings management system to ensure that all of our tailings storage facilities meet company-wide criteria. The system is fleshed out in AGA's Tailings Management Framework which provides guidance and standards for the different phases of development of Tailings facilities.
Operating plan	<p>An operating plan that is aligned with your established acceptable risk levels and critical controls framework</p> <p>An operating plan that includes the operating constraints of the dam and its construction method</p> <p>An operating plan that considers the consequences of breaching the operating constraints of the dam</p> <p>An operating plan that includes periodic review of the foundations and slope materials</p> <p>An operating plan that evaluates the effectiveness of the risk management measures and whether performance objectives are being met</p>	AGA has developed a Tailings Management Framework which provides guidance and standards for the different phases of development of Tailings facilities.
Assurance program	<p>An assurance program for the operating phase of the facility that details the procedures for the inspections, audits and reviews</p> <p>An assurance program for each phase of the facilities' life that includes the frequency of the various levels of inspections, audits and reviews</p> <p>An assurance program for each phase of the facilities' life that includes the scope of the various levels of inspections, audits and reviews</p> <p>An assurance program that details the competence requirements for the persons undertaking the inspections, audits and reviews</p> <p>An assurance program that includes an external audit covering the life of facility or the operating plans</p>	Only professional geotechnical consultants are used for expert inspections, audits and reviews of AGA's tailings facilities.
Change management process	<p>Inclusion of a formal change management process for the construction phase of the facility</p> <p>Inclusion of a formal change management process for the operating phase of the facility</p> <p>Inclusion of a formal change management process for the closure and decommissioning phase of the facility</p> <p>Inclusion of a change management process in the assurance program</p> <p>Inclusion of the results from external audits of operating plans or life of facility plans into the change management process</p>	Each phase of Tailings facility development is documented to provide direction for design, construction, operation, decommissioning, closure and post closure.
Approval	Other, please specify (Regional & Corporate Tailings Engineers.)	The EHS and C-suite managers are not required to approve the operating plan, the life of facility plan, the assurance programme and the change management process. The operating plan and the life of facility plan are approved by the Regional and Corporate Tailings Engineers. The results of the assurance programme and change management process are presented to the Executives and C-suite managers annually.
Other management procedure	Please select	AGA has developed a Tailings Management Framework which provides guidance and standards for the different phases of development of Tailings facilities. The AGA Tailings Management process incorporates four levels of review. At the most basic level, Tailings facility managers at each operation are responsible for day to day operations and adherence to the operating plan. Tailings management experts at Regional level are responsible for providing geotechnical advice to the operations. Each tailings facility is reviewed on a two to five year basis by an independent third party geotechnical consultant. The operational and regional tailings facility management is audited by the corporate tailings engineer to check compliance against the AGA Tailings management framework.
Other management procedure	Please select	AGA has developed and published their Mine Tailings Disclosure, following the attention on tailings facilities that resulted from the Brumadinho TSF failure in Brazil. AGA has a detailed framework that sets principles, standards and guidelines for the construction, management and oversight of its TSFs. The aim is to protect and maintain human health and safety, the environment, and to enable efficient and responsible production. The framework, overseen by experienced TSF engineers, focuses on the sound management of all phases of the TSF lifecycle, recognizes that each TSF is unique and that there is no single design or operating technique that can be adopted universally. Therefore, the specific, detailed elements of each TSF would be covered in regional codes of practice and site-specific operations manuals.

### W3.3

---

#### (W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment?

Yes, water-related risks are assessed

### W3.3a

---

#### (W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

##### Direct operations

###### Coverage

Full

###### Risk assessment procedure

Other, please specify (Internal risk management standard)

###### Frequency of assessment

More than once a year

###### How far into the future are risks considered?

1 to 3 years

###### Type of tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

###### Tools and methods used

ISO 31000 Risk Management Standard

###### Comment

##### Supply chain

###### Coverage

None

###### Risk assessment procedure

<Not Applicable>

###### Frequency of assessment

<Not Applicable>

###### How far into the future are risks considered?

<Not Applicable>

###### Type of tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

###### Tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

###### Comment

Suppliers are evaluated in regard to sustainability issues, but this does not currently include their water consumption. Our focus is on human rights, safety and environmental management systems.

##### Other stages of the value chain

###### Coverage

None

###### Risk assessment procedure

<Not Applicable>

###### Frequency of assessment

<Not Applicable>

###### How far into the future are risks considered?

<Not Applicable>

###### Type of tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

###### Tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

###### Comment

### W3.3b

---

**(W3.3b) Which of the following contextual issues are considered in your organization's water-related risk assessments?**

	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain
Water availability at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	Current water availability is always included in current and forward-looking risk.
Water quality at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	Current availability and quality of water resources are important to operations and stakeholders.
Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	In mining, and at virtually all company operations, we compete as a stakeholder for limited water resources. Therefore, risk assessment includes local stakeholder impacts and perspectives.
Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials	Relevant, always included	Water is a critical requirement for conducting mining and refining operations. Mining of ore is directly related to water availability.
Water-related regulatory frameworks	Relevant, always included	The regulatory environment is critical at all facilities and has been shown in the past to have a significant economic impact on operations. Loss of an operation's permit due to water issues would be unacceptable. Water tariffs, where applicable, can be a significant component of costs and so are monitored closely.
Status of ecosystems and habitats	Relevant, always included	Our mining operations are part of the local ecosystem. Mining operations, and related water management, affects the local ecosystem and habitat. As such, these are always part of risk assessments.
Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees	Relevant, always included	Most employees work shifts of 8 hours or more outside of an office environment so require potable water for drinking and water for sanitation. Water for these purposes and for cooking are provided in all company-supplied residential quarters. Access to WASH services by all employees is a human right and we are committed to complying with the Universal Declaration on Human Rights, International Bill of Human Rights and the International Labour Organisation (ILO) standards.
Other contextual issues, please specify	Relevant, always included	Our mining operations are part of the local ecosystem. Mining operations, and related water management, potentially affects the local ecosystem and habitat. As such, these are always part of risk assessments.

**W3.3c**

**(W3.3c) Which of the following stakeholders are considered in your organization's water-related risk assessments?**

	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain
Customers	Not relevant, explanation provided	Gold is an internationally traded commodity, the price of which is set internationally and over which AngloGold Ashanti has no control. The impact of water is to the cost of production, which is not related to the price paid by customers. Also, there are no quality issues from water with regard to our product. Therefore, customers are not typically considered.
Employees	Relevant, always included	Employee experience is correlated to water risks to a limited extent. WASH issues are clearly important but water requirements for operations are orders of magnitude greater than employee requirements so supplying sufficient water for employees is readily achieved.
Investors	Relevant, always included	Investors rate the sustainability value of their investments as a critical criterion in selection of their investment. As such, it's critical that we manage operations responsibly, including ensuring a sustainable water supply while demonstrating responsible water stewardship.
Local communities	Relevant, always included	Water is a key consideration where there are communities surrounding our mining operations. We sometimes share water resources with communities, as well as potentially impacting the water quality of the local environment.
NGOs	Relevant, always included	NGOs are proactive in interfacing with governments and communities. As such, they are important to maintaining both strong government and community relationships. Water is typically one of their top issues. We have seen it growing in importance in recent years, both at the international and local levels.
Other water users at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	Water consumers in a catchment are potentially affected by our operations and are therefore considered in risk assessments.
Regulators	Relevant, always included	Regulators set regulatory and permit conditions so they are the most critical stakeholders of all. We are generally required by law to consult with them.
River basin management authorities	Relevant, always included	As relatively large water users, our mines are usually key participants in catchment/basin management forums and it is therefore important that we participate in such forums and consult their management authorities in all risk assessments.
Statutory special interest groups at a local level	Relevant, always included	Mining and processing licences and permits are predicated upon having strong positive relationships with all government and quasi-government organisations and these must be included in risk and impact assessments.
Suppliers	Relevant, not included	Suppliers are evaluated in regard to sustainability issues, but this does not currently include their water consumption. Our focus is on human rights, safety and environmental management systems.
Water utilities at a local level	Relevant, always included	Local utilities may compete with our company for water sources and quality. In most cases we are the customer of local water utilities. As such, they are always considered in risk assessments.
Other stakeholder, please specify	Not considered	Not applicable.

**W3.3d**

**(W3.3d) Describe your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.**

The company incorporates water management risk within the company's Enterprise Risk management system (AuRisk). Internal company knowledge gained over many years, comprising site knowledge and understanding and the experience and knowledge of internal, regional and corporate staff, are utilised in the AuRisk assessments. Water risks include environmental, operational, stakeholder (where applicable) and regulatory perspectives. All are evaluated per site, with risk information being captured and updated in AuRisk, with related risk mitigation actions being captured and tracked. Additionally, the company utilises the BowTie Risk assessment methodology and appropriate management systems e.g. ISO 14001 to aid in the understanding and management of specific risks (e.g. water pollution).

Supply chain risks from water have been assessed as low, so suppliers are not covered in the detailed risk assessment process. Government databases, at the local, regional and national levels, are usually very useful and are drawn upon to the extent that we can, considering that many of our operations are in remote parts of underdeveloped countries.

In aligning our water reporting to the ICMM Consistent Water Reporting guide, we undertook an analysis of our operating sites' water context using the WWF Water Risk filter. The results of this analysis were adapted with local site knowledge and a Group overview is summarized in our 2019 Sustainable Development Report (SDR) under the Environmental Stewardship section. Sites water risk by type (as well as sites water risk by opportunity) is defined in the SDR as part of water related risks.

## W4. Risks and opportunities

---

### W4.1

---

**(W4.1) Have you identified any inherent water-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?**

Yes, only within our direct operations

### W4.1a

---

**(W4.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?**

The company's risk matrix defines 6 levels of potential consequence and 6 levels of potential likelihood. There are also 6 types of risk category including financial. Potential threats with a risk index of 25 and higher are considered significant. In financial terms, this translates as a threat with a consequence of between \$1m and \$10m and a Likelihood of 66% or greater (Very Likely or Almost Certain). Water is required to sustain gold recovery operations at the company's gold plants which process ore from mining operations. If water supply becomes constrained, gold production volumes could be affected in roughly equal proportions. The water risks considered are those with a potential risk index of 25 or greater, principally with a potential financial impact of between \$1m and \$10m.

### W4.1b

---

**(W4.1b) What is the total number of facilities exposed to water risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what proportion of your company-wide facilities does this represent?**

	Total number of facilities exposed to water risk	% company-wide facilities this represents	Comment
Row 1	1	1-25	This risk currently applies to one mining operation in South Africa.

### W4.1c

---

(W4.1c) By river basin, what is the number and proportion of facilities exposed to water risks that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what is the potential business impact associated with those facilities?

Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

1-25

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

338000000

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

1-10

Comment

The above production value estimate is based on the affected mine's 2019 production and 2019 average gold price per ounce.

---

W4.2

---

**(W4.2) Provide details of identified risks in your direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.**

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Type of risk & Primary risk driver**

Physical	Flooding
----------	----------

**Primary potential impact**

Impact on company assets

**Company-specific description**

Some of AngloGold Ashanti's mining operations are located adjacent to mining operations of other mining companies. The closure of an underground mining operation may have an impact upon the continued operations at adjacent mines if appropriate preventative steps are not taken, including the ingress of extraneous underground water when pumping operations at the closed mine are suspended. This happened in 2013 when a mining company adjacent to one of our operations went into liquidation and threatened to suspend pumping of underground water because it had not made provision for post-closure pumping and had not been forced to do so by regulators. If unaddressed, there is a risk that the contaminated extraneous underground water will drain downwards and potentially flood deeper-lying operations.

**Timeframe**

Current up to one year

**Magnitude of potential impact**

High

**Likelihood**

Virtually certain

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

No, we do not have this figure

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Explanation of financial impact**

It is not possible to assign a reasonable estimate of the potential financial impact if the risk were to be realised and the company's efforts have gone into mitigating the risk at source. The worst case scenario is that mining operations would be suspended in a Force Majeure event or until capital equipment is installed to deal with the volumes of extraneous water.

**Primary response to risk**

Other, please specify (Take over pumping infrastructure)

**Description of response**

AngloGold Ashanti secured a court order for access rights to the liquidated mining company's infrastructure to keep pumping going. AngloGold Ashanti also incorporated Covalent Water Company, which purchased rights of access and electricity to the 4 and 6 shafts as well as the relevant infrastructure, to continue pumping underground water. This has reduced the risk of flooding at the company's West Wits Operations, but flooding in the future could pose an unpredicted "Force Majeure" type event, which could have an adverse impact on its results of operations and financial condition. Additional infrastructure is being installed at Covalent Water Company 4 Shaft in the B2 decline to further mitigate risk and allow pumping closer to source.

**Cost of response**

9100000

**Explanation of cost of response**

The cost of response is to maintain infrastructure and pumping per annum (at 11 August 2020 US\$ exchange rates).

**W4.2c**

**(W4.2c) Why does your organization not consider itself exposed to water risks in its value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact?**

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row 1	Risks exist, but no substantive impact anticipated	There are immaterial volumes of water contained in purchased products, being limited to liquid reagents that are purchased and where water is used as a carrier (e.g. acids, peroxide, liquid cyanide, etc.).

**W4.3**

**(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?**

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

## W4.3a

---

(W4.3a) Provide details of opportunities currently being realized that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

**Type of opportunity**

Efficiency

**Primary water-related opportunity**

Cost savings

**Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity**

In South Africa, as the Gauteng province's water supplies are forecast to be insufficient to meet demand in the coming years, purifying impacted water for industrial use and/or human consumption will become increasingly important and financially desirable. The use of polluted water from liquidated neighbouring operations presents an opportunity to reduce our use of municipal water intake. We are currently undertaking a feasibility study to confirm whether the opportunity is economically viable, and are initiating permitting.

**Estimated timeframe for realization**

1 to 3 years

**Magnitude of potential financial impact**

Unknown

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

No, we do not have this figure

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Explanation of financial impact**

The financial impact will only be certain once the project has been put out to tender and the tenders evaluated.

---

## W5. Facility-level water accounting

---

### W5.1

---

(W5.1) For each facility referenced in W4.1c, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

**Facility reference number**

Facility 1

**Facility name (optional)**

West Wits

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Orange
--------------	--------

**Latitude**

-26.4384

**Longitude**

27.4019

**Located in area with water stress**

Yes

**Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility**

<Not Applicable>

**Oil & gas sector business division**

<Not Applicable>

**Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)**

3257

**Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

1218

**Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

**Withdrawals from third party sources**

2040

**Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)**

544

**Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Higher

**Discharges to fresh surface water**

544

**Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Discharges to groundwater**

0

**Discharges to third party destinations**

0

**Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)**

2713

**Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

Much lower

**Please explain**

We have aligned our reporting with the ICMM Consistent Water Reporting Guide.

---

**W5.1a**

(W5.1a) For the facilities referenced in W5.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been externally verified?

#### Water withdrawals – total volumes

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water withdrawals – volume by source

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water withdrawals – quality

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water discharges – total volumes

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water discharges – volume by destination

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water discharges – volume by treatment method

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water discharge quality – quality by standard effluent parameters

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water discharge quality – temperature

**% verified**  
Not verified

##### What standard and methodology was used?

<Not Applicable>

#### Water consumption – total volume

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

#### Water recycled/reused

**% verified**  
76-100

##### What standard and methodology was used?

Data was verified through an external audit company - Ernst & Young. The Independent Assurance report can be accessed on the following link: [https://thevault.exchange/?get\\_group\\_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf](https://thevault.exchange/?get_group_doc=143/1588658914-AGA-SR19-independent-assurance-report.pdf)

## W6. Governance

### W6.1

#### (W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?

Yes, we have a documented water policy that is publicly available

### W6.1a

#### (W6.1a) Select the options that best describe the scope and content of your water policy.

	Scope	Content	Please explain
Row 1	Company-wide	Description of water-related performance standards for direct operations Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action Other, please specify (Incorporated within group EHS policy)	We make all our company policies and standards available on our website as a result of our commitment to transparency and so that stakeholders can keep us accountable to our commitments. Our policies and performance standards apply across the entire company because people are the same everywhere. They incorporate scope for more stringent local requirements but set a minimum standard across the entire organisation. We have an Environment policy that includes water, and then a water management standard that sets out specific requirements regarding water management.

### W6.2

#### (W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?

Yes

### W6.2a

#### (W6.2a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for water-related issues.

Position of individual	Please explain
Other, please specify (Board Social, Ethics and Sustainability )	The Board Social, Ethics and Sustainability Committee has this responsibility. It has an overview of sustainability policy and strategy, including water. The committee is one of five committees that assist the Board in discharging its responsibilities. The functioning of the committees is guided by their terms of reference which are approved by the Board and reviewed annually or as required. During 2019, all Board committees were chaired by independent non-executive directors.

### W6.2b

#### (W6.2b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of water-related issues.

	Frequency that water-related issues are a scheduled agenda item	Governance mechanisms into which water-related issues are integrated	Please explain
Row 1	Scheduled - all meetings	Monitoring implementation and performance Setting performance objectives	Water use and intensity performance data and surface & groundwater quality risks for the company, its operating regions and important developments in the sphere of water (such as legislation changes) are standard content in the reports tabled before the Board Social, Ethics and Sustainability Committee. The reports outline the rationale for observed trends in performance data and discuss any developments in the water management that may impact on the company, including management's planned response. The Committee may in its review of the information presented and its deliberations, direct the company along a course of action.

### W6.3

**(W6.3) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Other, please specify (Executive Committee)

**Responsibility**

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

As important matters arise

**Please explain**

The company's Executive Committee is the top tier of management and are accountable to the Board of Directors. Executive Committee members include the CEO, the CFO and the Executive Vice Presidents (EVPs) responsible for Operations (COOs), Sustainability, Technical matters, Human Resources, Strategy & Business Development and Legal & Governance. Some EVPs have more direct accountability for tracking and/or managing water-related issues such as implementing projects and/or tracking legislation or other developments and shaping the company strategies to mitigate water management risk(s).

**W6.4**

**(W6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?**

	Provide incentives for management of water-related issues	Comment
Row 1	Yes	The annual Bonus and Deferred Share Plan scheme for C-Suite and senior managers across the company incorporates a zero target for significant environmental incidents, which include water-related incidents (spills).

**W6.4a**

**(W6.4a) What incentives are provided to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals)?**

	Role(s) entitled to incentive	Performance indicator	Please explain
Monetary reward	Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Chief Financial Officer (CFO) Chief Operating Officer (COO)	Other, please specify (Water-related spills and incidents.)	The annual Bonus and Deferred Share Plan scheme for C-Suite and senior managers across the company incorporates a zero target for significant environmental incidents, which include water-related incidents (spills).
Non-monetary reward	No one is entitled to these incentives	<Not Applicable>	

**W6.5**

**(W6.5) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?**

No

**W6.6**

**(W6.6) Did your organization include information about its response to water-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?**

Yes (you may attach the report - this is optional)

AGA-AFS19.pdf

AGA's Annual Financial Statement for 2019, has information about the organisation's groundwater as well as deep groundwater pollution.

**W7. Business strategy**

**W7.1**

**(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?**

	Are water-related issues integrated?	Long-term time horizon (years)	Please explain
Long-term business objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	5-10	Assessing risks and opportunities related to water are a critical part of feasibility studies for greenfield mine development(s) and brownfields site expansion projects. This typically spans over aspects of licensing, hydrogeology, water balance changes (shortages or excesses) and the potential need to either import additional water or to treat and release excess water.
Strategy for achieving long-term objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	5-10	In achieving the company's long-term business objectives, it is crucial that the company demonstrates it is a responsible steward of water resources, in particular to social and regulatory stakeholders.
Financial planning	No, water-related issues were reviewed but not considered as strategically relevant/significant	Please select	

**W7.2**

**(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?**

**Row 1**

**Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)**

**Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)**

**Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)**

**Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)**

**Please explain**

There were no major water projects completed during 2019. Routine Opex costs are directly related to water treatment activities which were not materially changed in 2019 from 2018. It is not possible to cleanly extract "water-related expenditure" from our accounting systems since water management is integral to many business activities. We are unable to meaningfully forecast changes in water-related Capex expenditure as these are subject to approval(s) of feasibility studies. Opex expenditure is estimated to be materially unchanged.

**W7.3**

**(W7.3) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?**

	Use of climate-related scenario analysis	Comment
Row 1	No, but we anticipate doing so within the next two years	The company has started with the process of adopting the TCFD recommendations which will entail undertaking scenario analyses to test the sensitivity of our business strategies from a climate perspective, with a focus on water availability assumptions (oversupply and undersupply).

**W7.4**

**(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?**

**Row 1**

**Does your company use an internal price on water?**

No, and we do not anticipate doing so within the next two years

**Please explain**

The relevance of an internal water price has not been assessed, principally because water is recognized as being an environmental and social good that is strongly governed by the basin, regulatory and social context. As such, the 'value' of water is unique to each location (and context) and does not lend itself to being valued with a common 'price' across the organisation.

**W8. Targets**

**W8.1**

**(W8.1) Describe your approach to setting and monitoring water-related targets and/or goals.**

	Levels for targets and/or goals	Monitoring at corporate level	Approach to setting and monitoring targets and/or goals
Row 1	Company-wide targets and goals Site/facility specific targets and/or goals	Targets are monitored at the corporate level	All operations are required to account for their water use to a maximum inaccuracy of 10%. Accounting system accuracy outside of that range requires investigation and correction. Annually, the company has a target of Zero environmental incidents categorised as 'Reportable' namely; High, Major or Extreme severity, as defined by the company's environmental incident classification system. This includes incidents of non-compliance to host country discharge water quality limits.

**W8.1a**

**(W8.1a) Provide details of your water targets that are monitored at the corporate level, and the progress made.**

**Target reference number**

Target 1

**Category of target**

Water pollution reduction

**Level**

Company-wide

**Primary motivation**

Water stewardship

**Description of target**

Annually, the company has a target of Zero environmental incidents categorised as 'Reportable' namely; High, Major or Extreme severity, as defined by the company's environmental incident classification system. This includes incidents of water-related spills and non-compliance to host country discharge water quality limits.

**Quantitative metric**

Other, please specify (Zero significant water-related incidents)

**Baseline year**

2019

**Start year**

2019

**Target year**

2019

**% of target achieved**

**Please explain**

Unfortunately 2 Reportable process water-related spill occurred during 2019.

**W9. Verification**

**W9.1**

**(W9.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1a)?**

Yes

**W9.1a**

**(W9.1a) Which data points within your CDP disclosure have been verified, and which standards were used?**

Disclosure module	Data verified	Verification standard	Please explain
W1 Current state	Water withdrawals	ISAE 3000	Limited assurance is provided by an external assurer annually, testing alternate sites over a period of time.

**W10. Sign off**

**W-FI**

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

### W10.1

---

(W10.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Vice President: Environment, Group Sustainability	Other, please specify (Group Vice President/Head of Discipline)

### W10.2

---

(W10.2) Please indicate whether your organization agrees for CDP to transfer your publicly disclosed data on your impact and risk response strategies to the CEO Water Mandate's Water Action Hub [applies only to W2.1a (response to impacts), W4.2 and W4.2a (response to risks)].

Yes

### Submit your response

---

In which language are you submitting your response?

English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I am submitting to	Public or Non-Public Submission
I am submitting my response	Investors	Public

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms