

# Welcome to your CDP Water Security Questionnaire 2023

## **W0. Introduction**

## W0.1

#### (W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.

AngloGold Ashanti is a multinational global gold mining company with a geographically diverse, world-class portfolio of operations and projects. Headquartered in Johannesburg, South Africa, AngloGold Ashanti is the fourth largest gold mining company in the world, measured by production. AngloGold Ashanti produced 2.742 million ounces of gold in 2022 - an estimated 2.1% of global production - making it the fourth largest gold producer in the world. AngloGold Ashanti operates 11 gold-producing operations located in 6 countries on three continents, and a group of greenfield projects in Colombia as well as AngloGold Ashanti's Silicon project in the United States of America which is supported by a focused exploration programme. These comprise mid to long-life, relatively low-cost assets with differing ore body types located in key gold-producing regions. AngloGold Ashanti currently operates in Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Ghana, the Republic of Guinea, and Tanzania. Several of these assets are strongly leveraged to energy costs and currencies. In addition, AngloGold Ashanti holds a material interest in 2 non-managed mines which are operated by B2Gold (Gramalote) and Barrick Gold Corporation (Kibali). We work across the full spectrum of the mining value chain and are concerned with the impact of our activities on the varied and many communities and environments in which we operate. Our goal is to create sustainable value for our shareholders, employees, and social partners through safe and responsible mining practices and capital discipline. Headquartered in Johannesburg, South Africa, AngloGold Ashanti's primary listing is on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (ANG). It is also listed on the following securities exchanges: New York (AU), Australia (AGG) and Ghana (AGA).

## W-MM0.1a/W-CO0.1a

## (W-MM0.1a/W-CO0.1a) Which activities in the metals and mining and coal sectors does your organization engage in?

| Activity   | Details of activity |
|------------|---------------------|
| Mining     | Gold                |
| Processing | Gold                |



## W0.2

#### (W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

|                | Start date      | End date          |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Reporting year | January 1, 2022 | December 31, 2022 |

## W0.3

#### (W0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Argentina Australia Brazil Ghana Guinea United Republic of Tanzania

## W0.4

(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

USD

## W0.5

(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.

Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

## W0.6

(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?

No

## W0.7

(W0.7) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

| Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization. | Provide your unique<br>identifier |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Yes, a Ticker symbol  | JSE - ANGJ.J                      |



## W1. Current state

## W1.1

## (W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

|   | Direct use<br>importance<br>rating | Indirect use<br>importance<br>rating | Please explain   |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Sufficient<br>amounts of good<br>quality freshwater<br>available for use                        | Neutral                            | Not very<br>important                | DIRECT USE: There are only a few production<br>processes in operating mines that require good<br>quality freshwater. These include air cooling and<br>ventilation systems in underground mines, the<br>gold elution circuits in gold extraction plants and<br>WASH services for employees. The bulk of the<br>water requirements can be met with poorer quality<br>water. Often however, where there are limited<br>poorer quality sources available, freshwater must<br>be imported into the organisation to sustain<br>operations, either in untreated form directly from<br>rivers, lakes or potable quality freshwater is<br>imported from utility water suppliers. INDIRECT<br>USE: There are immaterial volumes of water<br>contained in purchased products, being limited to<br>liquid reagents that are purchased and where<br>water is used as a carrier (e.g. acids, peroxide,<br>liquid cyanide, etc.). |
| Sufficient<br>amounts of<br>recycled,<br>brackish and/or<br>produced water<br>available for use | Vital                              | Not important<br>at all              | DIRECT USE: The bulk of operational water<br>needs at our operations are met by reused water<br>(up to 67%) within closed systems. Most<br>operational processes can use very poor quality<br>water and as a result, water losses incurred due<br>to evaporation, phreatic water entrainment in<br>tailings and seepage are preferentially made up<br>by brackish and/or saline groundwater water<br>sources. Where insufficient poor quality water is<br>available to counter losses, fresh water must be<br>imported. INDIRECT USE: There are immaterial<br>volumes of water contained in purchased<br>products, being limited to liquid reagents that are<br>purchased and where water is used as a carrier<br>(e.g. acids, peroxide, liquid cyanide, etc.).  |



## W1.2

## (W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

|  | % of                        | Frequency of  | Method of  | Please explain   |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
|  | sites/facilities/operations | measurement   | measurement  |  |
| Water<br>withdrawals –<br>total volumes        | 100%                        | Monthly   | Water<br>withdrawal from<br>different sources<br>across the<br>operation are<br>aggregated on a<br>monthly basis to<br>determine total<br>water<br>withdrawals.  | Total volume of<br>water withdrawals<br>is simply a<br>periodic<br>aggregation of<br>the different<br>withdrawal<br>source volumes.<br>These volumes<br>are closely<br>tracked for<br>operational<br>purposes and are<br>reported to<br>regulators and<br>our external<br>stakeholders in<br>annual<br>Sustainability<br>reports.  |
| Water<br>withdrawals –<br>volumes by<br>source | 100%                        | Other, please<br>specify<br>The frequency<br>of measuring<br>water<br>withdrawals<br>can vary by<br>source from<br>continuous<br>flow meters<br>installed at<br>e.g.,<br>groundwater<br>abstraction<br>points to<br>monthly<br>totalizer<br>readings at<br>mechanical<br>flow meters at<br>remote<br>lake/water | Water<br>withdrawal<br>measurements<br>are undertaken<br>through a<br>variety of<br>methods which<br>include;<br>calculation of<br>volumes from<br>pump capacity<br>and running<br>hours, through<br>to mechanical<br>and electronic<br>flow meters.<br>Sites can have a<br>variety of these<br>applications and | Operational sites<br>measure water<br>withdrawal across<br>various sources<br>in a variety of<br>ways from<br>continuous flow<br>meters to daily or<br>monthly<br>measurements of<br>certain<br>withdrawal<br>sources. In<br>general, water<br>accounting<br>balances are run<br>on a monthly<br>basis, therefore<br>regardless of |



|   |      | dam pumping<br>stations.   | apply calibration<br>checks from<br>time to time.   | their specific<br>management<br>measurement<br>frequencies, all<br>water withdrawals<br>are aggregated<br>on a monthly<br>basis at<br>minimum.   |
|---|------|--|---|--|
| Entrained water<br>associated with<br>your metals &<br>mining and/or<br>coal sector<br>activities - total<br>volumes [only<br>metals and<br>mining and coal<br>sectors] | 100% | Daily  | Entrained water<br>is calculated by<br>multiplying the<br>total wet<br>tonnage<br>entering the<br>production<br>milling circuits<br>by the<br>percentage of<br>moisture in the<br>ore. The latter<br>percentage is<br>determined<br>through<br>laboratory<br>analysis and<br>periodic<br>adjustments. | Determination of<br>entrained water<br>volume is critical<br>to accurately<br>accounting for the<br>movement of gold<br>through the<br>process plant<br>circuits.  |
| Water<br>withdrawals<br>quality   | 100% | Other, please<br>specify<br>The quality of<br>the water<br>sources which<br>we abstract<br>from are very<br>stable. Our<br>process<br>circuits are<br>able to utilize<br>very poor-<br>quality water,<br>treating poor<br>quality water<br>where it is<br>needed for<br>sensitive<br>production<br>processes | Source water<br>quality checks<br>will involve<br>sampling and<br>laboratory<br>analysis for key<br>parameters<br>such as salinity,<br>pH, TDS, macro<br>and<br>micronutrients<br>and heavy<br>metals.  | Water source<br>quality is typically<br>determined at the<br>outset of the<br>mining project<br>during permitting.<br>There are<br>periodic checks<br>from time to time<br>to confirm its<br>quality remains<br>within<br>expectations.<br>This is generally<br>done informally. |



|  |      | and/or human<br>use. |  |  |
|--|------|----------------------|--|--|
| Water<br>discharges –<br>total volumes             | 100% | Monthly              | Water discharge<br>measurements<br>are undertaken<br>through a<br>variety of<br>methods which<br>include; flume<br>and weir water<br>flow monitoring,<br>through to<br>mechanical and<br>electronic flow<br>meters. Sites<br>can have a<br>variety of these<br>applications and<br>apply calibration<br>checks from<br>time to time.<br>Total water<br>discharge<br>volume is<br>aggregated on<br>an annual basis. | Total water<br>discharge volume<br>is aggregated on<br>an annual basis<br>and voluntarily<br>published in our<br>Sustainability<br>report.<br>Data collected<br>during the<br>discharge period<br>is collated and<br>reported<br>externally to<br>regulators at set<br>intervals, in<br>accordance with<br>permit<br>requirements. |
| Water<br>discharges –<br>volumes by<br>destination | 100% | Monthly              | Water discharge<br>measurements<br>are undertaken<br>through a<br>variety of<br>methods at the<br>regulated<br>compliance<br>point. These can<br>include; flume<br>and weir water<br>flow monitoring,<br>through to<br>mechanical and<br>electronic flow<br>meters. Sites<br>can have a  | Water discharges<br>are regulated<br>through water<br>discharge<br>permits/licenses<br>and closely<br>regulated and<br>have been<br>determined<br>through a<br>process of<br>scientific study<br>and stakeholder<br>consultation.<br>These often<br>contain volume<br>caps and water   |



|  | variety of these   | quality limits for |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
|  | applications and   | different          |
|  | apply calibration  | parameters, in     |
|  | checks from        | consideration of   |
|  | time to time.      | the receiving      |
|  |                    | water receptor's   |
|  | Water discharge    | existing quality.  |
|  | permits or         | Data collected     |
|  | licenses issued    | during the         |
|  | by regulators      | discharge period   |
|  | typically indicate | is collated and    |
|  | the permissible    | reported           |
|  | location           | externally to the  |
|  | (destination) of   | regulators at set  |
|  | discharge.         | intervals, in      |
|  |                    | accordance with    |
|  |                    | permit             |
|  |                    | requirements. In   |
|  |                    | addition to the    |
|  |                    | discharge          |
|  |                    | volume, the        |
|  |                    | destination for    |
|  |                    | each one is        |
|  |                    | voluntarily        |
|  |                    | reported           |
|  |                    | externally on an   |
|  |                    | annual basis (in   |
|  |                    | our published      |
|  |                    | Sustainability     |
|  |                    | report).           |
|  |                    |                    |
|  |                    | For example, our   |
|  |                    | Sunrise Dam        |
|  |                    | operation          |
|  |                    | discharges nyper-  |
|  |                    | saline water onto  |
|  |                    |                    |
|  |                    | i ypically these   |
|  |                    | remain fixed and   |
|  |                    |                    |
|  |                    | water discharge    |
|  |                    | at the permitted   |
|  |                    | nointe je providad |
|  |                    | in reports to      |
|  |                    | regulators along   |
|  |                    | regulators along   |

Г



|   |      |         |  | with other<br>pertinent<br>discharge<br>information.  |
|---|------|---------|--|---|
| Water<br>discharges –<br>volumes by<br>treatment<br>method            | 100% | Monthly | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued<br>by regulators<br>typically indicate<br>the water quality<br>objectives that<br>have to be met<br>and the type of<br>treatment is<br>determined by<br>the respective<br>operational site.<br>This may<br>require either<br>primary and<br>secondary water<br>treatment, in<br>some instances<br>advanced water<br>treatment e.g.,<br>reverse osmosis<br>is applied. | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued<br>by regulators<br>typically indicate<br>the type of<br>treatment to be<br>applied and/or<br>water quality<br>objectives that<br>have to be met.<br>This data is<br>reported<br>externally on an<br>annual basis (in<br>our published<br>Sustainability<br>report). |
| Water discharge<br>quality – by<br>standard<br>effluent<br>parameters | 100% | Monthly | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued<br>by regulators<br>indicate the<br>permissible<br>thresholds of<br>various standard<br>effluent<br>parameters e.g.<br>pH, conductivity,<br>TDS and<br>parameters of<br>potential<br>concern such as<br>dissolved<br>metals. These<br>are determined  | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued<br>by regulators<br>indicate the<br>permissible<br>thresholds of<br>various standard<br>effluent<br>parameters e.g.<br>pH, conductivity,<br>TDS and<br>parameters of<br>potential concern<br>such as dissolved<br>metals.<br>Monitoring of<br>these parameters       |



|   |       |                          | through<br>regulated<br>sampling and<br>analysis<br>programmes,<br>including<br>frequency and<br>applicable<br>parameters.   | is typically<br>obligatory, as is<br>provision of this<br>information to<br>regulators. Again,<br>a reduced set of<br>this data is<br>reported<br>externally in our<br>annual<br>Sustainability<br>report.   |
|---|-------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Water discharge<br>quality –<br>emissions to<br>water (nitrates,<br>phosphates,<br>pesticides,<br>and/or other<br>priority<br>substances) | 100%  | Monthly                  | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued<br>by regulators<br>indicate the<br>permissible<br>thresholds of<br>various standard<br>effluent<br>parameters<br>(typically<br>includes nitrated<br>and<br>phosphates)<br>and any<br>parameters of<br>potential<br>concern such as<br>dissolved<br>metals. These<br>are determined<br>through<br>regulated<br>sampling and<br>analysis<br>programmes,<br>including<br>frequency and<br>applicable<br>parameters. | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued<br>by regulators<br>indicate the<br>permissible<br>thresholds of<br>various standard<br>effluent<br>parameters and<br>any parameters<br>of potential<br>concern. These<br>do not typically<br>include pesticides<br>and priority<br>constituents of<br>concern tend to<br>be dissolved<br>metals.<br>Monitoring of<br>these parameters<br>is typically<br>obligatory, as is<br>provision of this<br>information to<br>regulators. |
| Water discharge<br>quality –<br>temperature   | 51-75 | Other, please<br>specify | Where<br>temperature of<br>water being   | Water discharge<br>permits or<br>licenses issued   |



|  |      | As per water<br>regulatory<br>requirements. | discharged is<br>required, this is<br>typically done<br>with handheld<br>equipment at<br>the release<br>point.  | by regulators<br>indicate the<br>permissible<br>thresholds of<br>various standard<br>effluent<br>parameters e.g.<br>pH, conductivity,<br>TDS and<br>parameters of<br>potential concern<br>such as dissolved<br>metals.<br>Monitoring of<br>these parameters<br>is typically<br>obligatory, as is<br>provision of this<br>information to<br>regulators. It is<br>not however<br>common to<br>require<br>temperature<br>readings, hence<br>this is not globally<br>applied. The<br>percentage<br>reported here is |
|--|------|---|---|---|
|  |      |   |   | reported here is an estimate.   |
| Water<br>consumption –<br>total volume | 100% | Yearly                                      | Water<br>consumption<br>includes water<br>permanently<br>entrained in<br>tailings storage<br>facilities<br>(interstitial<br>water), plus<br>evaporation.<br>Accounting for<br>these to any<br>usable levels of<br>accuracy is<br>challenging, | Consumption<br>data includes<br>entrainment and<br>evaporation is<br>calculated at the<br>site and company<br>level on an<br>annual basis. It is<br>founded on the<br>definitions for<br>water<br>consumption<br>contained in the<br>ICMM Water<br>Reporting, Good  |



|   |      |           | therefore water<br>consumption is<br>determined by<br>calculation,<br>being the<br>difference<br>between the<br>sum of inflows<br>and outflows<br>(discharges)<br>from an<br>operational site<br>and adjusting for<br>any annual<br>change in<br>storage<br>(typically<br>negligible over<br>12 months). | practice guide,<br>2nd Edition. The<br>calculation<br>method is used<br>instead of the<br>separate<br>quantification of<br>evaporation and<br>entrainment,<br>which is<br>hampered by<br>inherent<br>inaccuracies.   |
|---|------|-----------|--|--|
| Water<br>recycled/reused  | 100% | Quarterly | Determined in<br>accordance with<br>the ICMM<br>methodology,<br>considering key<br>tasks where<br>previously<br>worked water is<br>being reused<br>(based on MCA<br>water<br>accounting<br>framework).   | All active<br>operational<br>facilities account<br>for recycled water<br>volumes in<br>accordance with<br>the ICMM<br>methodology<br>(based on MCA<br>water accounting<br>framework). The<br>data is collated<br>and reported<br>externally on an<br>annual basis. |
| The provision of<br>fully-functioning,<br>safely managed<br>WASH services<br>to all workers | 100% | Daily     | Water for WASH<br>services is<br>either imported<br>from a potable<br>water supplier or<br>raw water is<br>treated on site,<br>tested and then<br>provided to all<br>staff. This<br>remains the  | Access to fresh,<br>safe water is a<br>fundamental<br>human right that<br>we are committed<br>to complying with<br>and is in<br>accordance with<br>the Universal<br>Declaration on<br>Human Rights.  |



|  | accountability of | International Bill |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|
|  | our internal      | of Human Rights    |
|  | health services   | and the            |
|  | staff.            | International      |
|  |                   | Labour             |
|  |                   | Organisation       |
|  |                   | (ILO) standards.   |

## W1.2b

(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

|                          | Volume<br>(megaliters/ye<br>ar) | Compariso<br>n with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | Primary reason<br>for comparison<br>with previous<br>reporting year   | Five-<br>year<br>foreca<br>st | Primary reason<br>for forecast                | Please<br>explain  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Total<br>withdrawal<br>s | 61,631                          | Higher   | Other, please<br>specify<br>Of the 10%<br>YoY increase,<br>6% is from<br>increased<br>water<br>diversions<br>(per CDP<br>definition, not<br>used by<br>operations).<br>Marginal<br>increase of<br>2% from<br>higher rainfall<br>and 3% from<br>increased<br>withdrawal<br>from sources. | Higher                        | Increase/decrea<br>se in business<br>activity | Our water<br>withdrawal<br>data is<br>aligned with<br>the CDP<br>Water<br>guidance.<br>This<br>includes<br>harvested<br>rainwater<br>and "Other<br>Managed<br>Water<br>Withdrawals<br>." A large<br>part of the<br>year of the<br>year of the<br>year<br>increase<br>originates<br>from Other<br>managed<br>water which<br>is not<br>utilised in<br>production |





|                     |        |        |  |                      |  | processes<br>and cannot<br>therefore be<br>considered<br>a relevant<br>contributor<br>to water use<br>efficiency.  |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Total<br>discharges | 21,452 | Higher | Other, please<br>specify<br>The 16% YoY<br>increase is<br>from<br>increased<br>discharge of<br>water<br>diversions<br>(per CDP<br>definition, not<br>used by<br>operations).<br>Discharges<br>from<br>operational<br>circuits<br>remain flat<br>from the<br>previous year. | About<br>the<br>same | Other, please<br>specify<br>Despite an<br>anticipated<br>increase in<br>business<br>activity, new<br>operations will<br>be a zero-<br>discharge<br>site. | Our water<br>discharge<br>data is<br>aligned with<br>the CDP<br>Water<br>guidance.<br>This<br>includes<br>"Other<br>Managed<br>Water<br>Discharges.<br>" The year<br>on the year<br>increase is<br>due to<br>Other<br>managed<br>water<br>discharges<br>which did<br>not<br>emanate<br>from<br>production<br>processes<br>and cannot<br>therefore be<br>considered<br>a relevant<br>contributor<br>to the<br>analysis. |



| Total     | 40,179 | Higher | Increase/decrea  | Higher | Increase/decrea | Additional    |
|-----------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------|
| consumpti |        |        | se in efficiency |        | se in business  | operating     |
| on        |        |        |                  |        | activity        | sites         |
|           |        |        |                  |        |                 | expected to   |
|           |        |        |                  |        |                 | come online   |
|           |        |        |                  |        |                 | in the next 5 |
|           |        |        |                  |        |                 | years.        |

## W1.2d

(W1.2d) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the proportion, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

|           | Withdraw<br>als are<br>from<br>areas with<br>water<br>stress | %<br>withdra<br>wn from<br>areas<br>with<br>water<br>stress | Comparis<br>on with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | Primary<br>reason for<br>comparis<br>on with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year   | Five-<br>year<br>foreca<br>st | Primary<br>reason for<br>forecast             | ldentificati<br>on tool                        | Please<br>explain   |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Ro<br>w 1 | Yes  | 11-25   | About the same                                       | Other,<br>please<br>specify<br>The data<br>reported<br>for 2022<br>remains<br>unchang<br>ed from<br>the<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year. | Higher                        | Increase/decre<br>ase in business<br>activity | WRI<br>Aqueduct<br>WWF<br>Water Risk<br>Filter | Two of<br>our<br>operatio<br>ns are<br>located<br>in areas<br>identified<br>as being<br>under<br>water<br>stress; in<br>reality,<br>these<br>operatio<br>ns have<br>a<br>significa<br>nt<br>proportio<br>n of<br>extraneo<br>us<br>fissure<br>water<br>draining |



|  |  |  |  | into the   |
|--|--|--|--|------------|
|  |  |  |  | operatio   |
|  |  |  |  | ns,        |
|  |  |  |  | reducing   |
|  |  |  |  | the need   |
|  |  |  |  | to import  |
|  |  |  |  | water      |
|  |  |  |  | from       |
|  |  |  |  | surface    |
|  |  |  |  | sources    |
|  |  |  |  | and        |
|  |  |  |  | water      |
|  |  |  |  | supply     |
|  |  |  |  | utilities. |
|  |  |  |  | In         |
|  |  |  |  | addition,  |
|  |  |  |  | a new      |
|  |  |  |  | operatio   |
|  |  |  |  | n in a     |
|  |  |  |  | water      |
|  |  |  |  | stressed   |
|  |  |  |  | area is    |
|  |  |  |  | expected   |
|  |  |  |  | to come    |
|  |  |  |  | online     |
|  |  |  |  | within     |
|  |  |  |  | the 5-     |
|  |  |  |  | year       |
|  |  |  |  | period.    |

## W1.2h

#### (W1.2h) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

|  | Relevance | Volume<br>(megaliters/year) | Comparison<br>with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | Primary<br>reason for<br>comparison<br>with previous<br>reporting<br>year               | Please explain   |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Fresh surface<br>water, including<br>rainwater, water<br>from wetlands,<br>rivers, and lakes | Relevant  | 33,883                      | Higher  | Other, please<br>specify<br>Additional<br>rainfall was<br>received<br>which<br>elevates | Includes directly<br>and indirectly<br>harvested<br>rainwater. |



|                                    |                                   |        |                   | fresh water<br>withdrawals<br>(using the<br>CDP<br>definition). |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|---|--|
| Brackish surface<br>water/Seawater | Not<br>relevant                   |        |                   |   | The water<br>quality of our<br>water<br>withdrawals at<br>our operating<br>sites is not in<br>exceedance of<br>10,000 mg/l<br>TDS.   |
| Groundwater –<br>renewable         | Relevant                          | 16,882 | About the<br>same | Other, please<br>specify<br>Stable<br>operating<br>profile.     | Includes high<br>and low quality<br>groundwater.   |
| Groundwater – non-<br>renewable    | Not<br>relevant                   |        |                   |   | We do not tap<br>into water at<br>these depths<br>and we<br>currently do not<br>have a need to.  |
| Produced/Entrained<br>water        | Relevant<br>but volume<br>unknown |        |                   |   | Water entrained<br>with the ore<br>arriving at a<br>processing<br>plant includes a<br>percentage of<br>moisture and a<br>proportion of<br>the recirculating<br>process water<br>balance. The<br>extent between<br>mines can vary<br>significantly,<br>depending on<br>the geology and<br>the mine type.<br>For example,<br>hard rock<br>mining tends to<br>have very |



|                     |          |    |       |  | limited naturally<br>occurring water,<br>with most being<br>added through<br>mining<br>activities. The<br>percentage of<br>entrained<br>moisture is<br>estimated in the<br>site level water<br>balance and,<br>included in the<br>Groundwater<br>Withdrawal<br>numbers. At the<br>corporate<br>aggregation<br>level, we are<br>unable to<br>quantify the<br>volume of<br>entrained water. |
|---------------------|----------|----|-------|--|---|
| Third party sources | Relevant | 27 | Lower | Other, please<br>specify<br>Lower<br>demand<br>during the<br>calendar<br>year. | One of our<br>operating sites<br>makes use of<br>third party for<br>sensitive<br>operations and<br>human<br>consumption.  |

## W1.2i

### (W1.2i) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

|                           | Relevan<br>ce | Volume<br>(megaliters/y<br>ear) | Comparis<br>on with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | Primary<br>reason for<br>compariso<br>n with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | Please explain  |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Fresh<br>surface<br>water | Relevant      | 21,002                          | Higher   | Other,<br>please<br>specify   | Our water discharge data is<br>aligned with the CDP Water<br>guidance. This includes "Other |



| Brackish<br>surface<br>water/seaw<br>ater | Relevant                             | 450 | About the same | The 16%<br>YoY<br>increase<br>is from<br>increase<br>d<br>discharg<br>e of<br>water<br>diversion<br>s (per<br>CDP<br>definition<br>, not<br>used by<br>operatio<br>ns).<br>Discharg<br>es from<br>operatio<br>nal<br>circuits<br>remain<br>flat from<br>the<br>previous<br>year.<br>Other,<br>please<br>specify<br>Stable<br>operatio<br>ns | Managed Water Discharges." The<br>year on the year 16% increase is<br>due to Other managed water<br>discharges which did not emanate<br>from production processes and<br>cannot therefore be considered a<br>relevant contributor to the analysis.<br>The single operation that<br>discharges saline water onto a salt<br>lake maintains fairly stable<br>operational throughput and<br>generates similar volumes of  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----|----------------|---|---|
| Groundwate                                | Relevant<br>but<br>volume<br>unknown |     |                |   | We do not undertake aquifer re-<br>injection but do experience diffuse<br>seepage losses to groundwater<br>from water task areas. These<br>volumes are challenging to<br>accurately quantify at the corporate<br>aggregation level. Our definition of<br>Consumption includes "Other Task<br>Losses" which also accounts for<br>diffuse seepage losses to<br>groundwater. See our 2022<br>Interactions with Water infographic<br>at:<br>https://reports.anglogoldashanti.co<br>m/22/sr/ |
| Third-party destinations                  | Not<br>relevant                      |     |                |   | Our operations do not provide<br>water to third-parties.  |



## W1.2j

## (W1.2j) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

|                              | Relevan<br>ce of<br>treatme<br>nt level<br>to<br>discharg<br>e | Volume<br>(megaliters/ye<br>ar) | Comparis<br>on of<br>treated<br>volume<br>with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | Primary<br>reason for<br>compariso<br>n with<br>previous<br>reporting<br>year | % of your<br>sites/facilities/operat<br>ions this volume<br>applies to | Please<br>explain   |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Tertiary<br>treatment        | Relevant   | 3,615                           | About the<br>same   | Other,<br>please<br>specify<br>Consisten<br>t<br>operation<br>al profile.     | 1-10   | Reverse<br>osmosis-<br>related<br>water<br>treatment<br>technology,<br>managing<br>excess<br>process<br>water to<br>regulatory<br>requiremen<br>ts. |
| Secondar<br>y<br>treatment   | Relevant   | 9,207                           | About the same  | Other,<br>please<br>specify<br>Consisten<br>t<br>operation<br>al profile.     | 31-40  | This<br>includes<br>chemical<br>precipitatio<br>n-based<br>water<br>treatment<br>and pH<br>adjustment<br>technologie<br>s.                          |
| Primary<br>treatment<br>only | Relevant   | 442                             | About the same  | Other,<br>please<br>specify<br>Consisten<br>t<br>operation<br>al profile.     | 1-10   | Includes<br>simple<br>suspended<br>solids<br>removal<br>through<br>flocculation   |



|   |                        |       |                |  |       | , settling or filtering.  |
|---|------------------------|-------|----------------|--|-------|---|
| Discharge<br>to the<br>natural<br>environm<br>ent<br>without<br>treatment | Relevant               | 8,188 | Much<br>higher | Other,<br>please<br>specify<br>This<br>includes<br>large-<br>scale<br>pumping<br>of<br>rainwater<br>stored in<br>a mining<br>pit. The<br>water<br>level in<br>this pit is<br>occasion<br>ally<br>relowered<br>for<br>operation<br>al<br>reasons. | 21-30 | We include<br>volumes of<br>"Other<br>Managed<br>Water" that<br>were<br>discharged<br>to the<br>environme<br>nt without<br>requiring<br>treatment.<br>The water<br>quality of<br>these<br>discharges<br>meets<br>regulatory<br>effluent<br>standards. |
| Discharge<br>to a third<br>party<br>without<br>treatment                  | Not<br>relevant<br>Not |       |                |  |       | We do not<br>discharge<br>to third<br>parties.  |
|   | relevant               |       |                |  |       | applicable.   |

## W1.2k

## (W1.2k) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

|          | Emissions to water<br>in the reporting year<br>(metric tonnes) | Category(ies) of<br>substances<br>included | Please explain   |
|----------|--|--|--|
| Row<br>1 | 21,452   | Nitrates<br>Phosphates                     | Nitrates and phosphates are typically included in<br>our standard suite of effluent analysis, however<br>these are not aggregated across the company<br>at the Corporate level.<br>We have provided the total water discharge<br>from operational circuits as well as Other<br>managed water discharges. |



## W1.3

#### (W1.3) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

|          | Revenue       | Total water<br>withdrawal<br>volume<br>(megaliters) | Total water<br>withdrawal<br>efficiency | Anticipated forward trend   |
|----------|---------------|---|---|---|
| Row<br>1 | 4,501,000,000 | 61,631  | 73,031.4289886583                       | Unknown, we do not track this<br>metric inhouse, it is of very limited<br>value and hugely exposed to the<br>vagaries of the gold price and the<br>average grades of the ore bodies<br>being mined. |

## W-MM1.3/W-CO1.3

(W-MM1.3/W-CO1.3) Do you calculate water intensity information for your metals and mining activities?

Yes

## W-MM1.3a/W-CO1.3a

(W-MM1.3a/W-CO1.3a) For your top 5 products by revenue, provide the following intensity information associated with your metals and mining activities.

| Product<br>name | Numerator:<br>Water aspect | Denominator          | Comparison with<br>previous reporting<br>year | Please explain  |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Gold            | Total water use            | Ton of ore processed | About the same                                | 4.7% higher due to slightly higher water withdrawals. |

## W1.4

## (W1.4) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

|          | Products contain hazardous substances | Comment   |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Row<br>1 | No                                    | Bullion is AGA's product and not considered as hazardous. |

## W1.5

(W1.5) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?

| Engagement | Primary reason for no | Please explain |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|            | engagement            |                |



| Suppliers   | No | Other, please specify<br>A lack of internal resources and<br>a sense there is limited scope for<br>substituting suppliers which may<br>have higher water footprints in<br>their production process. Most<br>products from our direct<br>suppliers are deemed to have a<br>small water footprint. | A lack of internal resources and<br>a sense there is limited scope for<br>substituting suppliers which may<br>have higher water footprints in<br>their production process. Most<br>products from our direct<br>suppliers are deemed to have a<br>small water footprint. |
|---|----|--|---|
| Other value<br>chain partners<br>(e.g.,<br>customers) | No | Judged to be unimportant   | Our customers are gold<br>refineries which produce gold<br>investment bars and secondary<br>products for the jewelry and<br>industrial sectors.   |

## W2. Business impacts

## W2.1

(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts? No

## W2.2

(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

|          | Water-related<br>regulatory<br>violations | Fines,<br>enforcement<br>orders, and/or<br>other penalties  | Comment   |
|----------|---|---|---|
| Row<br>1 | Yes                                       | Fines, but none<br>that are<br>considered as<br>significant | At our Cuiaba mine in Brazil, an estimated 81m3 of tailings<br>slurry leaked through a damaged drying bay liner of the<br>mine's milling and flotation plant, entering a local water<br>drainage channel and visibly impacted the Sabará River<br>up to Sabará's city centre. The spill exceeded effluent<br>limits for Manganese, Turbidity, and Total Suspended<br>Solids and altered the Sabará River's quality from Class 2<br>to Class 3 over a period of 2 days. The mine was fined<br>~US\$72k by Municipal and State authorities. In addition,<br>the Minas Gerais State Attorney initiated action against the<br>mine, resulting in development of social and environmental<br>projects in Sabará, donating 200 hectares of land towards<br>conservation and undertaking an independent review of<br>operational processes on the mine site. |



## W2.2a

#### (W2.2a) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines.

#### Row 1

Total number of fines

Total value of fines

72,000

% of total facilities/operations associated

9

Number of fines compared to previous reporting year

About the same

#### Comment

In March 2022, an estimated 81m3 of tailings slurry leaked through a damaged drying bay liner of the Cuiaba mine's milling and flotation plant, entering a local water drainage channel and visibly impacted the Sabará River up to Sabará's city centre. The spill exceeded effluent limits for Manganese, Turbidity, and Total Suspended Solids and altered the Sabará River's quality from Class 2 to Class 3 over a period of 2 days. The tailings flow was stopped immediately after discovery and sediment containment structures were put in place to limit the impact, after which clean-up of the watercourse(s) was initiated by a specialised external contractor. The event was communicated to the relevant authorities and representatives of downstream communities. The mine was fined ~US\$72k by Municipal and State authorities.

## **W3. Procedures**

## W3.1

(W3.1) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

|          | Identification and<br>classification of<br>potential water<br>pollutants | How potential water pollutants are identified and classified  |
|----------|--|---|
| Row<br>1 | Yes, we identify and<br>classify our potential<br>water pollutants       | Our Water Managment Standard (availbale on the company website)<br>sets out the requirements for operations to identify and classify<br>potential water pollutants associated with its mining activities, including<br>those that may have a detrimental impact on the water ecosystems or<br>human health. |



An a minimum, a baseline water quality risk assessment must be conducted as early as possible in the site's life, to identify actual and potential impacts on background water quality and neighbouring communities, arising from AGA activities. The water quality parameters assessed during this process must include an appropriate suite of physical, chemical and biological constituents. The identified local and regional water quality risks, in particular, potential noncompliance to host country usage requirements and regulatory or adopted effluent standards, must be clearly documented in the baseline assessment. Water quality management objectives must be developed in response to the potential risks identified in the baseline assessment, and appropriate preventive and/or corrective actions must be developed and implemented. Where effluent quality standards are not specified by host governments, the effluent guideline values (Water Use and Quality subsection) of the IFC Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines: MINING8 and/or in section 1.3 of the IFC Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines: GENERAL EHS GUIDELINES9 must be adopted as effluent quality targets.

## W3.1a

(W3.1a) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

## W-MM3.2/W-CO3.2

(W-MM3.2/W-CO3.2) By river basin, what number of active and inactive tailings dams are within your control?

| Country/Area &<br>River basin                               | Number of<br>tailings dams<br>in operation | Number of<br>inactive<br>tailings<br>dams | Comment |
|---|--|---|---------|
| Argentina<br>Other, please<br>specify<br>GHAAS Basin<br>974 | 1  | 0   |         |
| Australia<br>Other, please<br>specify<br>GHAAS Basin<br>174 | 2  | 1   |         |



| Brazil<br>Sao Francisco   | 9 | 0 | AGA's Tailings Disclosure currently stipulates<br>seven active tailings dams. This is in the<br>process of being updated to correspond to two<br>new facilities that were commissioned. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Brazil<br>Tocantins   | 2 | 0 | AGA's Tailings disclosure currently stipulates<br>one active tailings dam. This is in the process of<br>being updated to correspond to one new facility<br>that is being commissioned.  |
| Ghana<br>Other, please<br>specify<br>GHAAS Basin<br>1184                    | 4 | 5 | The TSF Disclosure currently stipulates a total<br>of seven TSF's in Ghana. This will be updated to<br>correspond to two new facilities that have been<br>commissioned.                 |
| Guinea<br>Niger   | 1 | 0 |   |
| United Republic<br>of Tanzania<br>Other, please<br>specify<br>Lake Victoria | 1 | 1 |   |

## W-MM3.2a/W-CO3.2a

(W-MM3.2a/W-CO3.2a) Do you evaluate and classify the tailings dams under your control according to the consequences of their failure to human health and ecosystems?

|              | Evaluation<br>of the<br>conseque<br>nces of<br>tailings<br>dam<br>failure    | Evaluation/Classif<br>ication<br>guideline(s)  | Tailings<br>dams<br>have<br>been<br>classifie<br>d as<br>'hazard<br>ous' or<br>'highly<br>hazardo<br>us' | Please explain   |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ro<br>w<br>1 | Yes, we<br>evaluate<br>the<br>consequen<br>ces of<br>tailings<br>dam failure | Australian National<br>Committee on<br>Large Dams<br>(ANCOLD)<br>Ordinance<br>70.389/17 - Mining | Yes,<br>tailings<br>dams<br>have<br>been<br>classifie<br>d as  | Please see link below to AGA's Tailings Disclosure:<br>https://www.anglogoldashanti.com/sustainability/en<br>vironment/tailings-waste/ |



|  | National Agency, | 'hazardo |
|--|------------------|----------|
|  | Brazil           | us' or   |
|  | Ghana Minerals   | 'highly  |
|  | Commission (LI   | hazardo  |
|  | 2182)            | us' (or  |
|  | Company-specific | equivale |
|  | guidelines       | nt)      |

## W-MM3.2b/W-CO3.2b

(W-MM3.2b/W-CO3.2b) Provide details for all dams classified as 'hazardous' or 'highly hazardous'.

| Та | <b>iilings dam name/identifier</b><br>CVSA TSF  |
|----|---|
| Co | Duntry/Area & River basin<br>Argentina<br>Other, please specify<br>GHAAS Basin 974                  |
| La | <b>-48.389219</b>   |
| Lo | -68.245296  |
| На | azard classification<br>High / High C   |
| Gı | uideline(s) used<br>Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)<br>South Africa SANS 10286 |
| Та | illings dam's activity<br>Active  |
| Сι | urrent tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)<br>16.9  |
| ΡI | anned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)<br>19.4                                  |
| PI | ease explain  |
|    |   |



#### Tailings dam name/identifier CDS II TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.984809

### Longitude

-43.47218

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3) 9.4

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### **Please explain**

This tailings dam closure is in progress - hence 'planned tailings storage impoundment' has been left blank.

Tailings dam name/identifier CDS 1 TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-20.019186

#### Longitude

-43.492902

#### Hazard classification High

#### Guideline(s) used



Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3) 0.4

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### Please explain

The CDS1 TSF is used for run-off control and as such, the tailings storage component remains unchanged.

#### Tailings dam name/identifier

Cuiaba TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.866392

#### Longitude

-43.727225

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

10.5

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### **Please explain**

This tailings dam closure is in progress - hence 'planned tailings storage impoundment' has been left blank.



#### Cuiaba Open Pit

#### Country/Area & River basin Brazil

Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.865922

### Longitude

-43.748015

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity Active

ACTIVE

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

3

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 0.37

#### Please explain

#### Tailings dam name/identifier Calcine TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.963472

## Longitude

-43.83887

#### Hazard classification

High

Guideline(s) used Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity



#### Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

4.4

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### **Please explain**

This tailings dam closure is in progress - hence 'planned tailings storage impoundment' has been left blank.

#### Tailings dam name/identifier

Cocoruto TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.974367

Longitude -43.830101

#### **Hazard classification**

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

4.2

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### **Please explain**

This tailings dam closure is in progress - hence 'planned tailings storage impoundment' has been left blank.

#### Tailings dam name/identifier Rapaunha TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin



Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.965827

#### Longitude

-43.842169

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

12.1

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### **Please explain**

Tailings storage volume remains unchanged because the facility only forms part of the water management circuit.

## Tailings dam name/identifier

MSG TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Tocantins

#### Latitude

-14.561475

#### Longitude -49.96026

-40.00020

### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active



## Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

17.1

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### Please explain

This tailings dam closure is in progress - hence 'planned tailings storage impoundment' has been left blank.

#### Tailings dam name/identifier

Geita TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

United Republic of Tanzania Other, please specify Lake Victoria

#### Latitude

-2.845327

Longitude 32.174305

Hazard classification High

Guideline(s) used South Africa SANS 10286

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

49

## Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

89

Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier Geita Old TSF

Country/Area & River basin United Republic of Tanzania



Other, please specify Lake Victoria

#### Latitude

-2.865192

#### Longitude

32.181134

## Hazard classification

High

Guideline(s) used South Africa SANS 10286

Tailings dam's activity Inactive

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

1.9

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

1.9

Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier

#### Country/Area & River basin

Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

5.242913

Longitude -2.030525

Hazard classification High

Guideline(s) used Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

Tailings dam's activity

Inactive



## Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3) 3.4

## Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 3.4

#### Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier Block 1 Pit

#### Country/Area & River basin

Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

5.242913

Longitude -2.030525

## Hazard classification

Not applicable.

#### Guideline(s) used

Other, please specify Not applicable

#### Tailings dam's activity

Inactive

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

5.3

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

5.3

Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier Block 2 Pit

#### Country/Area & River basin Ghana



Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

5.238928

### Longitude

-2.049145

#### Hazard classification Not applicable.

#### Guideline(s) used

Other, please specify Not applicable

Tailings dam's activity Inactive

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

12

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 12

**Please explain** 

Tailings dam name/identifier GTSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

5.233688

Longitude -2.066815

Hazard classification High

Guideline(s) used

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

Tailings dam's activity



#### Inactive

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

41.9

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 41.9

#### Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier Kokoteasua TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

6.219122

Longitude -1.665141

Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

#### Tailings dam's activity

Inactive

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

2.96

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### **Please explain**

The Kokoteasua TSF is inactive and in the process of being re-mined.

#### Tailings dam name/identifier Pompora TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin Ghana



Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

6.22314

#### Longitude

-1.652891

#### Hazard classification

High

**Guideline(s) used** Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

Tailings dam's activity Inactive

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

31.85

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 31.85

**Please explain** 

Tailings dam name/identifier South TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude

6.193716

Longitude

-1.718696

Hazard classification High

Guideline(s) used Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

#### Tailings dam's activity

Inactive



#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3) 45.5

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 45.5

#### **Please explain**

Tailings dam name/identifier Siguiri TSF

#### Country/Area & River basin

Guinea Niger

#### Latitude

9.408055

Longitude 11.518611

Hazard classification High

Guideline(s) used South Africa SANS 10286

#### Tailings dam's activity Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

## Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 175.3

175.5

#### Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier CTD TSF

Country/Area & River basin Australia



Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 174

#### Latitude

-29.112102

#### Longitude

122.454137

## Hazard classification

ANCOLD - Low

#### Guideline(s) used

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

#### Tailings dam's activity Active

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

48

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 60

Please explain

Tailings dam name/identifier TSF 1

#### Country/Area & River basin

Australia Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 174

#### Latitude

-29.077058

#### Longitude 122.445451

Hazard classification ANCOLD - Low

#### Guideline(s) used Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

#### Tailings dam's activity

Inactive



## Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3) 5.9

## Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 5.9

#### **Please explain**

Tailings dam name/identifier Tropicana

#### Country/Area & River basin

Australia Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 174

#### Latitude

-29.232246

## Longitude

124.552217

#### Hazard classification ANCOLD - High C

#### Guideline(s) used

Australian National Committee on Large Dams (ANCOLD)

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

49.5

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 77.8

#### **Please explain**

Tailings dam name/identifier Pilha Sape Brazil

#### Country/Area & River basin Brazil Sao Francisco



#### Latitude

-20.019863

#### Longitude

-43.515473

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

3.2

Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

#### Please explain

This tailings dam closure is in progress - hence 'planned tailings storage impoundment' has been left blank.

#### Tailings dam name/identifier

Brazil Grota 1

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-19.969827

#### Longitude

-43.479063

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

0.05



Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 2

#### **Please explain**

Tailings dam name/identifier **BioX TSF Ghana** Country/Area & River basin Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184 Latitude 6.208647 Longitude -1.713755 Hazard classification High Guideline(s) used Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182) Tailings dam's activity Active Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3) 0.31 Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3) 0.7 **Please explain** 

Tailings dam name/identifier

Beposo TSF Ghana (Phase 1)

#### Country/Area & River basin

Ghana Other, please specify GHAAS Basin 1184

#### Latitude



#### 5.272624

Longitude -2.059733

#### Hazard classification High

#### Guideline(s) used Ghana Minerals Commission (LI 2182)

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

#### Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

0.22

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

8.5

#### **Please explain**

Tailings dam name/identifier MSG Pit 5

#### Country/Area & River basin

Brazil Sao Francisco

#### Latitude

-14.577409

#### Longitude -43.748015

#### Hazard classification

High

#### Guideline(s) used

Ordinance 70.389/17 - Mining National Agency, Brazil

#### Tailings dam's activity

Active

## Current tailings storage impoundment volume (Mm3)

0.44

#### Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in 5 years (Mm3)

1.2



#### Please explain

## W-MM3.2c/W-CO3.2c

# (W-MM3.2c/W-CO3.2c) To manage the potential impacts to human health or water ecosystems associated with the tailings dams in your control, what procedures are in place for all of your dams?

| Procedure                 | Detail of the procedure   | Please explain   |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Life of facility<br>plan  | A life of facility plan that identifies<br>minimum specifications and<br>performance objectives for the<br>operating and closure phases<br>A life of facility plan that includes an<br>identification of potential chemical<br>and physical risks from the design<br>and construction phases<br>A life of facility plan that considers<br>post-closure land and water use<br>A life of facility plan that details the<br>financial and human resources<br>needed  | AGA has developed a Tailings Management<br>Framework which provides guidance and<br>standards for the different phases of<br>development of Tailings facilities.   |
| Acceptable<br>risk levels | Establishment of site-level guidance<br>and standards for acceptable risk<br>levels based on an evaluation of<br>potential chemical and physical<br>risks<br>Establishment of site-level guidance<br>and standards for acceptable risk<br>levels for third party safety in<br>consultation with potentially affected<br>communities, employees and<br>relevant government bodies<br>Establishment of site-level guidance<br>and standards for acceptable risk<br>levels across all life stages,<br>including post-closure<br>Establishment of company-wide<br>standards for acceptable risk levels<br>that follow a company policy to<br>eliminate or minimize water-related<br>risks associated with tailings dams | AGA has developed a comprehensive tailings<br>management system to ensure that all of our<br>tailings storage facilities meet company-wide<br>criteria.<br>The system is fleshed out in AGA's Tailings<br>Management Framework which provides<br>guidance and standards for the different<br>phases of development of Tailings facilities. |
| Operating plan            | An operating plan that is aligned with your established acceptable  | AGA has developed a Tailings Management<br>Framework (TMF) which provides guidance   |



|                                 | risk levels and critical controls<br>framework<br>An operating plan that includes the<br>operating constraints of the dam<br>and its construction method<br>An operating plan that considers the<br>consequences of breaching the<br>operating constraints of the dam<br>An operating plan that includes<br>periodic review of the foundations<br>and slope materials<br>An operating plan that evaluates the<br>effectiveness of the risk<br>management measures and<br>whether performance objectives are<br>being met   | and standards for the different phases of<br>development of Tailings facilities. Facility-<br>level Operating plans, in terms of the TMF<br>and local legislation are developed and<br>implemented for each facility.                      |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Assurance<br>program            | An assurance program for the<br>operating phase of the facility that<br>details the procedures for the<br>inspections, audits and reviews<br>An assurance program for each<br>phase of the facilities' life that<br>includes the frequency of the<br>various levels of inspections, audits<br>and reviews<br>An assurance program for each<br>phase of the facilities' life that<br>includes the scope of the various<br>levels of inspections, audits and<br>reviews<br>An assurance program that details<br>the competence requirements for<br>the persons undertaking the<br>inspections, audits and reviews<br>An assurance program that includes<br>an external audit covering the life of<br>facility or the operating plans | Only professional geotechnical consultants are<br>used for expert inspections, audits and<br>reviews of AGA's tailings facilities.<br>Engineers of record and independent tailings<br>review boards have been appointed for<br>facilities. |
| Change<br>management<br>process | Inclusion of a formal change<br>management process for the<br>construction phase of the facility<br>Inclusion of a formal change<br>management process for the<br>operating phase of the facility  | Each phase of Tailings facility development is<br>documented to provide direction for design,<br>construction, operation, decommissioning,<br>closure and post closure.  |



|                                  | Inclusion of a formal change<br>management process for the<br>closure and decommissioning<br>phase of the facility<br>Inclusion of a change management<br>process in the assurance program<br>Inclusion of the results from external<br>audits of operating plans or life of<br>facility plans into the change<br>management process |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Approval                         | Other, please specify<br>Regional & Corporate Tailings<br>Engineers.   | The EHS and C-suite managers are not<br>required to approve the operating plan, the life<br>of facility plan, the assurance programme and<br>the change management process.<br>The operating plan and the life of facility plan<br>are approved by the Regional and Corporate<br>Tailings Engineers.<br>The results of the assurance programme and<br>change management process are presented<br>to the Executives and C-suite managers<br>annually.<br>Per the Global Industry standard on Tailings<br>management, the Chief Technical Officer<br>carries the appointment of an accountable<br>executive for TSFs.  |
| Other<br>management<br>procedure | Other, please specify<br>Inhouse Tailings Management<br>Framework  | AGA has developed a Tailings Management<br>Framework which provides guidance and<br>standards for the different phases of<br>development of Tailings facilities. The AGA<br>Tailings Management process incorporates<br>four levels of review.<br>At the most basic level, Tailings facility<br>managers at each operation are responsible<br>for day to day operations and adherence to<br>the operating plan.<br>Tailings management experts at Regional<br>level are responsible for providing<br>geotechnical advice to the operations. Each<br>tailings facility is reviewed on a two to five<br>year basis by an independent third party<br>geotechnical consultant.<br>The operational and regional tailings facility<br>management is audited by the corporate |



|                                  | tailings engineer to check compliance against<br>the AGA Tailings management framework.<br>Engineers of record and independent review<br>boards have been appointed at all TSF's. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Other<br>management<br>procedure | The Global Industry Standard on Tailings<br>management was published in 2020. AGA<br>through the membership of the ICMM have<br>committed to complying to the standard.           |

## W3.3

#### (W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment? Yes, water-related risks are assessed

## W3.3a

(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

#### Value chain stage Direct operations

Coverage

Full

#### **Risk assessment procedure**

Other, please specify Internal risk management standard

#### **Frequency of assessment**

More than once a year

#### How far into the future are risks considered?

1 to 3 years

#### Type of tools and methods used

Enterprise risk management

#### Tools and methods used

ISO 31000 Risk Management Standard

#### Contextual issues considered

Water availability at a basin/catchment level Water quality at a basin/catchment level Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level Water regulatory frameworks Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees



#### Stakeholders considered

Employees Investors Local communities Regulators Water utilities at a local level Other water users at the basin/catchment level

#### Comment

With regards to supply chain, suppliers are evaluated in regard to sustainability issues, but this does not currently include their water consumption. Our focus is on human rights, safety and environmental management systems.

### W3.3b

# (W3.3b) Describe your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.

|     | Rationale for approach to risk<br>assessment | Explanation<br>of contextual<br>issues<br>considered | Explanation of stakeholders considered | Decision-making<br>process for risk<br>response |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| Row | Our Water Management                         |  | As an example, we                      | Core objectives for                             |
| 1   | Standard mandates the                        |  | work with other                        | operational water                               |
|     | comprehensive understanding of               |  | stakeholders in a                      | management are to:                              |
|     | water risks within and beyond                |  | number of water                        | <ul> <li>Set site-specific</li> </ul>           |
|     | the mine site and the                        |  | forums in Brazil                       | targets for minimizing                          |
|     | implementation of tailored                   |  | focused on                             | new water withdrawals                           |
|     | management and monitoring                    |  | managing                               | from the ground and                             |
|     | plans, that at site level are                |  | community-level                        | surface water bodies                            |
|     | supported by context-specific                |  | water resources for                    | while seeking to                                |
|     | water  |  | the common good.                       | maximise the reuse of                           |
|     | objectives and targets.                      |  | Our operations in                      | water   |
|     |  |  | the state of Minas                     | Prevent   |
|     | The company incorporates water               |  | Gerais, which                          | contamination of water                          |
|     | management risk within the                   |  | include the Cuiabá                     | resources by either                             |
|     | company's Enterprise Risk                    |  | Complex and the                        | maintaining zero water                          |
|     | management system (AuRisk).                  |  | Córrego do Sítio                       | discharge on sites, or                          |
|     | Internal company knowledge                   |  | mines collaborate                      | by treating and                                 |
|     | gained over many years,                      |  | on joint water                         | releasing excess water                          |
|     | comprising site knowledge and                |  | stewardship                            | from the process                                |
|     | understanding and the                        |  | through state and                      | circuit, which is typically                     |
|     | experience and knowledge of                  |  | regional water                         | the case for high                               |
|     | internal, regional and corporate             |  | basin committees;                      | rainfall areas.                                 |
|     | staff, are utilised in the AuRisk            |  | establishing                           |   |



| assessments. Water risks          | water consumption  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| include environmental,            | reduction targets, |  |
| operational, stakeholder (where   | and conducting     |  |
| applicable) and regulatory        | water quality      |  |
| perspectives. All are evaluated   | monitoring and     |  |
| per site, with risk information   | spring             |  |
| being captured and updated in     | preservation.      |  |
| AuRisk, with related risk         |                    |  |
| mitigation actions being captured |                    |  |
| and tracked. Additionally, the    |                    |  |
| company utilises the BowTie       |                    |  |
| Risk assessment methodology       |                    |  |
| and appropriate management        |                    |  |
| systems e.g. ISO 14001 to aid in  |                    |  |
| the understanding and             |                    |  |
| management of specific risks      |                    |  |
| (e.g. water pollution).           |                    |  |
| Supply chain risks from water     |                    |  |
| have been assessed as low, so     |                    |  |
| suppliers are not covered in the  |                    |  |
| detailed risk assessment          |                    |  |
| process. Government               |                    |  |
| databases, at the local, regional |                    |  |
| and national levels, are usually  |                    |  |
| very useful and are drawn upon    |                    |  |
| to the extent that we can,        |                    |  |
| considering that some of our      |                    |  |
| operations are in remote parts of |                    |  |
| underdeveloped countries.         |                    |  |

## W4. Risks and opportunities

## W4.1

(W4.1) Have you identified any inherent water-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

No

## W4.1a

## (W4.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

The company's risk matrix defines 6 levels of potential consequence and 6 levels of potential likelihood. There are also 6 types of risk category including financial. Potential threats with a risk index of 25 and higher are considered significant. In financial terms, this translates as a



threat with a consequence of between \$1m and \$10m and a Likelihood of 66% or greater (Very Likely or Almost Certain). Water is required to sustain gold recovery operations at the company's gold plants which process ore from mining operations. If water supply becomes constrained, gold production volumes could be affected in roughly equal proportions. The water risks considered are those with a potential risk index of 25 or greater, principally with a potential financial impact of between \$1m and \$10m.

## W4.2b

(W4.2b) Why does your organization not consider itself exposed to water risks in its direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact?

|     | Primary reason   | Please explain   |
|-----|------------------|--|
| Row | Risks exist, but | At our current operations, we do not have any inherent water risks that    |
| 1   | no substantive   | could emanate in a substantive financial or strategic impact. Furthermore, |
|     | impact           | our water management standard mandates the comprehensive                   |
|     | anticipated      | understanding of water risks and the implementation of tailored            |
|     |                  | management and monitoring plans, supported by context-specific             |
|     |                  | objectives and targets.  |

## W4.2c

# (W4.2c) Why does your organization not consider itself exposed to water risks in its value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact?

|   | Primary reason         | Please explain  |
|---|------------------------|---|
| R | ow Risks exist, but no | There are immaterial volumes of water contained in purchased            |
| 1 | substantive impact     | products, being limited to liquid reagents that are purchased and       |
|   | anticipated            | where water is used as a carrier (e.g. acids, peroxide, liquid cyanide, |
|   |                        | etc.).  |

## W4.3

## (W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

## W4.3a

(W4.3a) Provide details of opportunities currently being realized that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Type of opportunity



#### Efficiency

#### Primary water-related opportunity

Other, please specify Water storage opportunity

#### Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity

Project: Water storage at Geita Gold Mine

At Geita Gold Mine, we have embarked on seeking out water storage opportunities to reduce water intake from Lake Victoria (which is a permitted water source for the mine). This is an on-going water savings project which seeks to utilize on-site water storage facilities such as the lakes in the old mined-out pits of Geita Hill West as well as Lone Cone. As such, these water storage facilities are utilized as the raw/bulk water supply for the mine.

Since the implementation, we are able to annually reduce the operations water intake from the Nungwe Bay (Lake Victoria), reducing the overall pumping and reducing water usage from a national water resource. The mine is successfully recycling water from the tailings storage facilities and using water from the storage pits mentioned previously for the production circuit. The mine does not utilise water from Lake Victoria for production but conducts minimal pumping to keep the pipelines open and to supply communities with water through the offtake points.

Geita mine continued to reduce its water withdrawals from external lakes and dams, with water harvested from the site's pits and sumps constituting more than 50% of its new water withdrawals during the year.

#### Estimated timeframe for realization

1 to 3 years

#### Magnitude of potential financial impact

Medium-high

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure? No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

#### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

#### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

#### **Explanation of financial impact**

The utilization of the pits as water storage facilities have resulted directly in the following savings for the operation:



1. Reduction in pumping costs - savings in diesel and reduction in GHG emissions, also reduction in pump maintenance due to the reduced pumping.

2. The mine has reduced water abstraction from a national water resource and contributed to the conservation of water.

#### Type of opportunity

Efficiency

#### Primary water-related opportunity

Improved water efficiency in operations

#### Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity

Project: Tropicana Gold Mine Site Wide Water Optimization

Our Tropicana Gold Mine in Australia continued with its site wide water optimization project which uses less water from aquifers and includes the utilization of water by preference i.e., water from higher efficiency bores that have a lower energy consumption but still higher water yields. The site also embarked on using variable speed technology for the pumps which resulted in a reduction in energy usage and the ability to switch off most of the direct diesel generator bores. At the moment, bores on the electricity supply grid are only being used.

The strategy used the site water balance to focus on making the site water usage more efficient from both a demand and supply perspective with an additional focus on lowering maintenance. This was done by:

1) Removing low efficiency bores from water supply borefields, resulting in lower energy consumption and lower maintenance.

2) Equipping all water supply bores with variable speed technology to optimize water yields and using less energy.

3) Increasing the pumping from bore fields with a preferred water quality, which is more efficient for processing plant extractive chemistry (lower chemical dosage and higher pH levels).

4) Increasing water recovery from the recovery bores for a recycling perspective.

5) Increasing water recovery from TSF decant pumps for a recycling perspective.

The above has resulted in:

a) recycled water increases of >25%

b) a reduction of low efficiency bores by >50%

c) a reduction in cyanide dosage in the plant by ~35%

d) a reduction in diesel consumption up to 35% in variable speed drive bores.

#### Estimated timeframe for realization

Current - up to 1 year

#### Magnitude of potential financial impact

Medium



#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure? No, we do not have this figure

#### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

#### Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)

#### Explanation of financial impact

In financial terms, savings estimates are derived from the following:

- 1) Reduction in cyanide dosing in the Processing Plant.
- 2) Reduction in diesel consumption for pumping water.
- 3) Reduction in generator services for 'mothballed' generators.
- 4) Reduction in bore pump maintenance for replacing pumps and infrastructure.

#### Type of opportunity

Other

#### Primary water-related opportunity

Other, please specify Risk Mitigation

#### Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity

Iduapriem Gold Mine: Waste rock facility encapsulation

During 2021, rehabilitation of Iduapriem's Block 1 waste rock facility commenced. The waste rock facility that required active treatment of low pH seepage water, was reworked to encapsulate acid generating rock more effectively, and to reduce rainfall infiltration.

Approximately 37 hectares of the waste-rock dump has been rehabilitated with roughly 6 hectares remaining. This has reduced the exposed surface area and allows less infiltration, hence improving the average pH.

The pH of the Surface Water Six raw feed is projected to meet the regulatory effluent discharge limit soon after the completion of the rehabilitation of the entire waste rock dump at Block 1 North.

#### Estimated timeframe for realization

1 to 3 years

#### Magnitude of potential financial impact

Low-medium

#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?



#### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

#### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

#### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

#### **Explanation of financial impact**

We are mitigating the regulatory risk, not having to pay possible fines that may arise and are therefore unable to provide a potential financial impact figure.

#### Type of opportunity

Other

#### Primary water-related opportunity

Other, please specify Groundwater treatment

#### Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity

Project: In-situ groundwater remediation at Geita Gold Mine

AngloGold Ashanti has rolled out a novel in-situ water remediation project at the Geita mine in Tanzania, working with a local Tanzanian/German joint venture partner that will use a process where naturally occurring bacteria directly remediates sulphate in groundwater. The technology – known as In-situ TSF Bioremediation – is ground-breaking. The AngloGold Ashanti team worked to adapt it to the mining context, making them the first to introduce it on a mine site. The fact that the remediation takes place at the site of contamination is key, as it means the process has a very low environmental impact. It can be used instead of more intrusive water remediation solutions such as constructing a water processing plant, digging trenches and pumping the water back to a TSF.

The process uses naturally occurring bacteria in the ground water to remove contaminants such as sulphate and nitrate and because the bacteria is in-situ, the process, once established, will become self-sustaining after a few years. For the process to work, a carbon source – in this instance, vegetable oil – is introduced to the impacted area, providing food for the micro bacteria. A combination of sulphur, sulphate and nitrate reducing bacteria carry out the remediation.

After acclimatising, the bacteria convert the nitrates to nitrogen gas and precipitate the sulphates to physical sulphides. Vegetable oil is added over the course of a few months, while the team determines how much, and how often, this needs to take place in order to sustain the contamination busting bacteria. This process will, over time, build a barrier that prevents the spread of sulphate enriched water beyond the reaction zone.



The in-situ groundwater bioremediation project in Tanzania progressed in 2022 with the joint venture partnership between Sensatec Tanzania and a German-based technology provider. In phase one of the project, which spans a 100m target area downstream of the Geita TSF, seven injection boreholes were installed in addition to upstream and downstream monitoring bores. The first round of reagent injection was completed, and initial process monitoring showed promising reductions in targeted parameters.

#### Estimated timeframe for realization

1 to 3 years

#### Magnitude of potential financial impact

Medium-high

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)

#### **Explanation of financial impact**

We are mitigating the regulatory risk, not having to pay possible fines that may arise as a result of the pollution plume which has been affecting downstream farmers. We are therefore unable to provide a potential financial impact figure.

## W6. Governance

## W6.1

#### (W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?

Yes, we have a documented water policy that is publicly available

## W6.1a

## (W6.1a) Select the options that best describe the scope and content of your water policy.

|     | Scope    | Content       | Please explain   |
|-----|----------|---------------|--|
| Row | Company- | Other, please | We make all our company policies and standards available |
| 1   | wide     | specify       | on our website as a result of our commitment to          |
|     |          | Incorporated  | transparency and so that stakeholders can keep us        |
|     |          | within Group  | accountable to our commitments. Our policies and         |



| Sustainability | performance standards apply across the entire company        |
|----------------|--|
| policy         | because people are the same everywhere. They incorporate     |
|                | scope for more stringent local requirements but set a        |
|                | minimum standard across the entire organization. We have a   |
|                | Group Sustainability policy that includes water, and a Water |
|                | Management Standard that sets out specific requirements      |
|                | regarding water management.                                  |
|                | Sustainability<br>policy                                     |

## W6.2

(W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?  $$\mathrm{Yes}$$ 

## W6.2a

(W6.2a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for water-related issues.

| Position of<br>individual or<br>committee                                 | Responsibilities for water-related issues  |
|---|--|
| Other, please<br>specify<br>Board Social,<br>Ethics and<br>Sustainability | The Board Social, Ethics and Sustainability Committee has this responsibility.<br>It has an overview of sustainability policy and strategy, including water. The<br>committee is one of five committees that assist the Board in discharging its<br>responsibilities. The functioning of the committees is guided by their terms of<br>reference which are approved by the Board and reviewed annually or as<br>required. During 2022, all Board committees were chaired by independent non-<br>executive directors. |

## W6.2b

(W6.2b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of water-related issues.

|          | Frequency that<br>water-related<br>issues are a<br>scheduled<br>agenda item | Governance<br>mechanisms into<br>which water-related<br>issues are<br>integrated     | Please explain   |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Row<br>1 | Scheduled - all<br>meetings   | Monitoring<br>implementation and<br>performance<br>Setting performance<br>objectives | Water use and intensity performance data and<br>surface & groundwater quality risks for the<br>company, its operating units and important<br>developments in the sphere of water (such as<br>legislation changes) are standard content in the<br>reports tabled before the Board Social, Ethics and<br>Sustainability Committee. The reports outline the<br>rationale for observed trends in performance data<br>and discuss any developments in the water |



| management that may impact on the company,          |
|---|
| including management's planned response. The        |
| Committee may in its review of the information      |
| presented and its deliberations, direct the company |
| along a course of action.                           |

## W6.2d

(W6.2d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues?

|          | Board member(s)<br>have competence<br>on water-related<br>issues | Criteria used to assess competence of board member(s) on water-<br>related issues   |
|----------|--|---|
| Row<br>1 | Yes  | <ul> <li>Dr. Kojo Busia</li> <li>Chairperson of the Social, Ethics and Sustainability Committee</li> <li>Independent Non-Executive Director</li> <li>PhD, MA, BA</li> <li>Dr Busia has over 25 years of professional experience in African</li> <li>natural resources governance and management working at both</li> <li>bilateral and multilateral organisations. He recently held the position of</li> <li>chief of the Natural Resources Management Section, Technology,</li> <li>Climate Change and Natural Resource Management Division, at the</li> <li>United Nations Economic Commission Africa (UNECA).</li> </ul> |

## W6.3

(W6.3) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s) Other, please specify Executive Committee

#### Water-related responsibilities of this position

#### Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

Quarterly

#### Please explain

The company's Executive Committee is the top tier of management and are accountable to the Board of Directors. Executive Committee members include the CEO, the CFO and the Chief Officers (COs) responsible for Operations (COO), Sustainability,



Technical matters, Human Resources, Strategy & Business Development and Legal & Governance. Some COs have more direct accountability for tracking and/or managing water-related issues such as implementing projects and/or tracking legislation or other developments and shaping the company strategies to mitigate water management risk(s).

Quarterly reports to the Board on Water Withdrawals, Water Withdrawal Intensity are provided. In addition, any material Water quality related issues/risks and mitigation actions are communicated.

## W6.4

## (W6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?

|     | Provide incentives for<br>management of water-<br>related issues | Comment  |
|-----|--|--|
| Row | No, not currently but we plan                                    | We may consider re-introducing incentives to C-suite               |
| 1   | to introduce them in the next                                    | employees in the near future. In the past, water related incidents |
|     | two years  | formed part of the Deferred Share Plan for reportable              |
|     |  | environmental incidents.   |

## W6.5

(W6.5) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?

Yes, trade associations Yes, other

## W6.5a

# (W6.5a) What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities seeking to influence policy are consistent with your water policy/water commitments?

At Country level, engagement on water policy is channelled through mining associations e.g. Minerals Council of Australia, Ghanaian Chamber of Mines.

At International level, we provide input into the discourse on water through our membership of the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM). In some cases, the ICMM represents its members with on UN-level policy development e.g. the Minamata Convention on Mercury.

## W6.6

## (W6.6) Did your organization include information about its response to water-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?

Yes (you may attach the report - this is optional)

U AGA-Annual Financial Statement 2022.pdf



 $\square$  Please see attached Annual Financial Statement, published on AGA's website (link below for ease of navigation):

https://reports.anglogoldashanti.com/22/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/AGA-AFS22.pdf

## W7. Business strategy

## W7.1

## (W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?

|  | Are water-<br>related issues<br>integrated?     | Long-term<br>time<br>horizon<br>(years) | Please explain   |
|--|---|---|--|
| Long-term<br>business<br>objectives                  | Yes, water-<br>related issues<br>are integrated | 5-10                                    | The replacement of mined out orebodies through the continued extension of mine life, or the development of greenfield mining projects, is essential to the long term survival of any mining enterprise. Projects in dry climates may be water-constrained, impacting their operating scale and profitability. On the other hand, projects in very wet climates, will need to consider treatment and release of excess and sometimes impacted water. This requires additional project capital and operating costs over the productive life of the operation. In both scenarios, water availability and water quality management, plays a critical role in the long-term business success. |
| Strategy for<br>achieving<br>long-term<br>objectives | Yes, water-<br>related issues<br>are integrated | 5-10                                    | In support of the company's long-term business<br>objectives, we constantly review water risks and<br>opportunities at the current mining operations and<br>assessing water resource risks and opportunities is a<br>critical part of our feasibility study approvals. These<br>typically span aspects such as water use licensing,<br>hydrology and geohydrology, water balance changes<br>(shortages or excesses) and the potential need to either<br>import additional water or to treat and release excess<br>water. Aligned to this, we undertake periodic review of<br>physical weather changes forecasted as a result of<br>climate change - to consider the .                    |
| Financial<br>planning                                | Yes, water-<br>related issues<br>are integrated | 5-10                                    | Any water-related financial resource as included in<br>annual operating expense budgets and/or Capex plans.<br>OPEX requirements are integrated into departmental<br>budgets, e.g. water treatment costs are typically   |



| incorporated into metallurgical processing plant budgets |
|--|
| and water quality monitoring and analysis costs          |
| generally included in the environmental management       |
| department budgets. Capital expenditure for water-       |
| related infrastructural enhancements are managed         |
| within the company's capital standard budgeting and      |
| implementation tracking process.                         |

## W7.2

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

Row 1

Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change) 150

Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

-120

Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

5

Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

#### Please explain

It is not possible to cleanly extract "water-related expenditure" from our accounting systems since water management is integral to many business activities. We are unable to meaningfully forecast changes in water-related CAPEX expenditure as these are 'lumpy', being subject to periodic approval(s) of feasibility studies - percentage data provided is a rough estimate. There are sometimes deferred or the investment decisions are phased. The primary water capital expense over 2022 was a modular water treatment plant installed at one of our Brazilian operations to supplement the capacity of and partially replace an older water treatment plant. Comparatively minor water infrastructure-related enhancements are being budgeted for in 2023's Capex schedule. OPEX expenditure is estimated to be slightly increased on 2021 due to inflationary pressures on reagent and energy pricing at operations treating influent and/or effluent waters. This inflation trend is expected to continue.

## W7.3

(W7.3) Does your organization use scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?



|          | Use of<br>scenario<br>analysis | Comment  |
|----------|--------------------------------|--|
| Row<br>1 | Yes                            | Water-related risks and opportunities change over time, necessitating some scenario-based approach to assessing and water risk - in particular, from a physical climate change perspective - which may impact on water availability and demand at operations level. Using recent climate data and projections for worst-case scenario projections (RCP 8.5), operational teams undertook a review of climate-driven water risks into the 2030s, focusing – to assess whether additional water resource management capability (including new infrastructure), is likely to be needed as adaptation measure. This has been the primary form of scenario analysis used for water resource risk management. While we have undertaken assessments using water tool e.g., WRI Aqueduct, these have proved to add little practical value beyond what is already available at mine-site level. |

## W7.3a

(W7.3a) Provide details of the scenario analysis, what water-related outcomes were identified, and how they have influenced your organization's business strategy.

| Type of<br>scenario<br>analysis<br>used | Parameters, assumptions,<br>analytical choices  | Description of<br>possible water-<br>related outcomes  | Influence on business<br>strategy  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Row Climate-<br>1 related               | Water related outcomes were<br>identified as part of AGA's<br>climate change strategy.<br>When planning our response<br>to individual physical climate<br>risks, we considered risk<br>management actions that can<br>be applied to many areas of<br>the business – including<br>informational, governance and<br>policy-related, operational<br>changes and physical<br>modifications.<br>The latest climate data and<br>projections, for a range of<br>climate hazards, were<br>considered to determine how<br>risks may change out to the<br>2030s, focusing on the worst<br>case scenario – RCP 8.5 – | Possible water-<br>related outcomes<br>are mapped out in<br>our published<br>Climate Change<br>Report at county<br>and site level as<br>follows:<br>AUSTRALIA:<br>1) 23% increase in<br>maximum 1-day<br>precipitation totals<br>for both Sunrise<br>Dam and Tropicana.<br>2) 12% and 25%<br>increase in<br>maximum 5-day<br>precipitation totals<br>for Sunrise Dam<br>and Tropicana, | Influence on business<br>strategy is outlined in our<br>published Climate Change<br>report at country level as<br>follows (coinciding with the<br>'possible water-related<br>outcomes'):<br>AUSTRALIA:<br>1 & 2) Supply chain<br>disruption, particularly bulk<br>reagents, due to extreme<br>rainfall/flooding.<br>3) Lightning strikes and fires<br>during storm events.<br>GHANA, TANZANIA &<br>GUINEA:<br>1 & 2) Geotechnical<br>instability and erosion (e.g.<br>pit wall, mine infrastructure, |



|  |                              | reencetively           | Tailinga Charage Facility      |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  | which would require the most | respectively.          |                                |
|  | robust adaptation measures.  | 3) Tropical cyclones   | (ISF) landforms/ structures,   |
|  |                              | are projected to       | rehabilitated areas, waste     |
|  | Quantitative modelling and   | decrease in            | rock dumps, filtered tailings, |
|  | risk assessments were        | frequency but          | etc.).                         |
|  | undertaken.                  | increase in intensity. | 3) Failure of rehabilitation   |
|  |                              |                        | objectives and limited         |
|  |                              | GHANA, TANZANIA        | regeneration of habitats.      |
|  |                              | & GUINEA:              | 4) Community and               |
|  |                              | 1) Increase in         | stakeholder concerns about     |
|  |                              | maximum 1-day          | reduced water availability.    |
|  |                              | precipitation totals.  |                                |
|  |                              | 2) Increase in         | BRAZIL:                        |
|  |                              | maximum 5-day          | 1 & 2) Geotechnical            |
|  |                              | precipitation totals.  | instability and erosion (e.g.  |
|  |                              | 3) At all mine sites   | pit wall, mine infrastructure, |
|  |                              | current water stress   | TSF landforms/structures,      |
|  |                              | is 'high' and this is  | rehabilitated areas, waste     |
|  |                              | not projected to       | rock dumps, filtered tailings, |
|  |                              | change by 2030 for     | etc.).                         |
|  |                              | Geita (Tanzania),      | 3) Reduction in                |
|  |                              | Iduapriem (Ghana)      | groundwater/aquifer            |
|  |                              | and Siguiri            | recharge/decrease in water     |
|  |                              | (Guinea).              | availability from boreholes,   |
|  |                              | 4) For Obuasi          | leading to water availability  |
|  |                              | (Ghana), projections   | issues/intervention around     |
|  |                              | indicate an increase   | water abstraction and use.     |
|  |                              | in water stress by     | Community concern about        |
|  |                              | up to twice more       | elevated dust                  |
|  |                              | than current risk.     | emissions/reduced ability to   |
|  |                              |                        | undertake dust suppression     |
|  |                              | BRAZIL:                | activities.                    |
|  |                              | 1) 14% and 25%         |                                |
|  |                              | increase in            | ARGENTINA:                     |
|  |                              | maximum 1-day          | 1 & 2) Extreme precipitation   |
|  |                              | precipitation totals   | leading to potential           |
|  |                              | at Serra Grande and    | challenges in pollution        |
|  |                              | AGA Mineração,         | control.                       |
|  |                              | respectively.          | 3) Potential community and     |
|  |                              | 2) 10% and 19%         | stakeholder concerns about     |
|  |                              | increase in            | reduced water availability.    |
|  |                              | maximum 5-day          | Changes in water               |
|  |                              | precipitation totals   | management regimes.            |
|  |                              | at Serra Grande and    |                                |
|  |                              | AGA Mineração,         | Please see pages 19 and 20     |
|  |                              | respectively.          | of AGA's published Climate     |



|  | 3) Current water      | Change report. |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|
|  | stress at these       |                |
|  | mines range           |                |
|  | between 'low-         |                |
|  | medium' and 'high'    |                |
|  | and this is not       |                |
|  | projected to change   |                |
|  | by 2030.              |                |
|  |                       |                |
|  | ARGENTINA:            |                |
|  | 1) 22% increase in    |                |
|  | maximum 1-day         |                |
|  | precipitation totals. |                |
|  | 2) 20% increase in    |                |
|  | maximum 5-day         |                |
|  | precipitation totals. |                |
|  | 3) Current water      |                |
|  | stress at the mine is |                |
|  | 'medium-high' and     |                |
|  | this is not projected |                |
|  | to change by 2030.    |                |
|  |                       |                |
|  | Please see pages      |                |
|  | 19 and 20 of AGA's    |                |
|  | published Climate     |                |
|  | Change report.        |                |
|  |                       |                |

## W7.4

(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?

#### Row 1

#### Does your company use an internal price on water?

No, and we do not anticipate doing so within the next two years

#### Please explain

The relevance of an internal water price has not been assessed, principally because water is recognized as being an environmental and social good that is strongly governed by the basin, regulatory and social context. As such, the 'value' of water is unique to each location (and context) and does not lend itself to being valued with a common 'price' across the organisation.



## W7.5

## (W7.5) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

|          | Products and/or<br>services<br>classified as low<br>water impact | Definition used to<br>classify low water<br>impact            | Please explain   |
|----------|--|---|--|
| Row<br>1 | Yes  | Lifetime water<br>consumption<br>demand of<br>product(s) use. | Downstream use of our product (gold) is as a store of<br>wealth (in refined gold bars), aesthetic and cultural<br>value (jewelry and other art objects), and low water<br>impact technologies e.g. as a signal conductor in<br>electronics, and specialised reflective surfaces (James<br>Webb telescope). |

## W8. Targets

## W8.1

(W8.1) Do you have any water-related targets?

Yes

## W8.1a

(W8.1a) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

|  | Target set in this category |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Water pollution                                | Yes                         |
| Water withdrawals                              | Yes                         |
| Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services | Yes                         |
| Other  |                             |

## W8.1b

(W8.1b) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Target reference number Target 1

Category of target Water pollution



## Target coverage Company-wide (direct operations only) **Quantitative metric** Other, please specify Zero significant water-related incidents Year target was set 2022 **Base year** 2022 **Base year figure Target year** 2022 **Target year figure** 0 **Reporting year figure** 2 % of target achieved relative to base year Target status in reporting year Expired

Please explain Regrettably, 2 Reportable process water-related spills occurred during 2022.

## **W9. Verification**

## W9.1

(W9.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1a)?

Yes

## W9.1a

(W9.1a) Which data points within your CDP disclosure have been verified, and which standards were used?

| Disclosure | Data verified | Verification | Please explain |
|------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| module     |               | standard     |                |



| W1 Current | Water withdrawals | ISAE 3000 | Limited assurance is provided by an          |
|------------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| state      | and Water         |           | external assurer annually, testing alternate |
|            | discharges.       |           | sites over a period of time.                 |

## W10. Plastics

## W10.1

## (W10.1) Have you mapped where in your value chain plastics are used and/or produced?

|       | Plastics mapping   | Please explain |
|-------|--|----------------|
| Row 1 | Not mapped – and we do not plan to within the next two years |                |

## W10.2

(W10.2) Across your value chain, have you assessed the potential environmental and human health impacts of your use and/or production of plastics?

|       | Impact assessment  | Please explain |
|-------|--|----------------|
| Row 1 | Not assessed – and we do not plan to within the next two years |                |

### W10.3

(W10.3) Across your value chain, are you exposed to plastics-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? If so, provide details.

|       | Risk exposure  | Please explain |
|-------|--|----------------|
| Row 1 | Not assessed – and we do not plan to within the next two years |                |

## W10.4

#### (W10.4) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

|       | Targets in place                                     | Please explain |
|-------|--|----------------|
| Row 1 | No – and we do not plan to within the next two years |                |

## W10.5

#### (W10.5) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

|  | Activity<br>applies | Comment |
|--|---------------------|---------|
| Production of plastic polymers           | No                  |         |
| Production of durable plastic components | No                  |         |



| Production / commercialization of durable plastic goods (including mixed materials)                            | No |  |
|--|----|--|
| Production / commercialization of plastic packaging  | No |  |
| Production of goods packaged in plastics   | No |  |
| Provision / commercialization of services or goods that use plastic packaging (e.g., retail and food services) | No |  |

## W11. Sign off

## W-FI

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

## W11.1

(W11.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

|     | Job title                                | Corresponding job category                  |
|-----|--|---|
| Row | Vice President: Environment and Industry | Other, please specify                       |
| 1   | Associations                             | Group Vice President; Head of<br>Discipline |

## SW. Supply chain module

## SW0.1

(SW0.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

|       | Annual revenue |
|-------|----------------|
| Row 1 |                |

## SW1.1

(SW1.1) Could any of your facilities reported in W5.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

## SW1.2

(SW1.2) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?



|       | Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities? | Comment |
|-------|---|---------|
| Row 1 |   |         |

### SW2.1

(SW2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial water-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

## SW2.2

(SW2.2) Have any water projects been implemented due to CDP supply chain member engagement?

## SW3.1

(SW3.1) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

## Submit your response

#### In which language are you submitting your response?

English

#### Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

|  | I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders | Response<br>permission |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Please select your<br>submission options | Yes   | Public                 |

Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

No

#### Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms